TOWNSHIP OF LONG HILL<br>PLANNING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:
TRANSCRIPT
Application No. 19-13P
PRISM MILLINGTON, LLC OF
50 Division Avenue
Blocks 12301/10100 Lots 1/7.01 REMOTE PROCEEDINGS
Major Preliminary and Final
Site Plan

Tuesday, November 10, 2020
Zoom Remote Hearing
Commencing at 7:58 p.m.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
DAVID HANDS, Chairman
THOMAS JONES, Vice Chairman
BRENDAN RAE, Mayor
JOHN FALVEY
VICTOR VERLEZZA
TOM MALINOUSKY
J. ALAN PFEIL

DENNIS SANDOW

A P P EARANCES
JOLANTA MAZIARZ, ESQUIRE Attorney for the Board

DECOTIIS, FITZPATRICK, COLE \& GIBLIN, LLP BY: FRANCIS REGAN, ESQUIRE Attorneys for the Applicant

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE Certified Shorthand Reporters
(908) 642-4299

ALSOPRESENT:
DEBRA COONCE, Planning \& Zoning Board Coordinator

ELIZABETH LEHENY, Township Planner

MICHAEL LANZAFAMA, Board Engineer ROBERT FOURNIADIS (Previously sworn) PAUL DeVITTO (Previously sworn) MATTHEW SECKLER (Previously sworn) ANGELA ALBERTO (Previously sworn)


CHAIRMAN HANDS: Okay. Next item is the continuation of the application, major preliminary and final site plan. It's Prism's application at the site known as Tifa.

I think we had a stopping point last time. We rushed again into the last 15 minutes and I'd like us not to do that again because that's the second time we rushed at least at the end of the meeting. So let's be conscious of the time.

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: I'll give you a heads-up at 10:15.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you. I know we added there were a couple of comments and people wanted to get questions in from the public, but I don't want us to put ourselves in the position of rushing the last few minutes. So, thank you. That would be great. Okay. Deb, how about you or Jolanta just take up the next -- sort of where we left off from the last meeting and what's next?

COORDINATOR COONCE: Yes. Do you want to go ahead, Jolanta?

MS. MAZIARZ: Sure. I had just reread the portion of the transcript from the

1 last public hearing on this application and
2 the Board made a motion, seconded that motion,
3 and that motion carried to limit any further
4 testimony to only the planner that had been
5 retained by Mr. Kaufman at the time at the
6 last hearing. At the last hearing, we heard
7 Mr. Kaufman give testimony. And Mr. Kaufman
8 asked the Board to carry this hearing to this
9 date so that his planner -- Mr. Pessolano, I
10 understand, is the planner, Debra?

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay. So he asked that the Board carry the hearing to this date so that Mr. Pessolano could testify on behalf of Mr. Kaufman, who is apparently objecting to the application.

If the Board wishes to open testimony to anyone else, the Board will need to revisit that motion in order to open testimony.

Members of the public have now had nine hearings, not counting this one, this is the tenth, to present objector testimony. We

1 made that clear on the record at the last
2 hearing, that any members that were not
3 present at the last hearing have been
4 foreclosed from presenting any more testimony.
5 Everyone except for Mr. Pessolano, because
6 that request came to the Board in writing and
7 the Board was very well aware that
8 Mr. Pessolano had a conflict at the last
9 meeting date and was only available today.

11 available and made this hearing date available
12 to hear Mr. Pessolano testify as a planner on

13 behalf of the objector, Mr. Kaufman.

I understand, also, that an attorney has been retained at this hour. So I imagine that it will be the attorney that will be presenting the expert for the objectors.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: So what are you looking first to do right now, then, Jolanta? Are we moving on or do we have to do something or was there some --

MS. MAZIARZ: Well, unless the applicant has anything to add to that, I think that we should get on with the testimony of Mr. Pessolano for whom this hearing date has

1 been set aside.

MR. REGAN: Mr. Chairman, I agree with the Board attorney's statements and I was prepared to make the same statements. And I'm aware, also, that the objectors may have retained counsel. And I would ask, when he does make an appearance, that he put on the record who he's representing because I don't know if it's just Mr. Kaufman or others. CHAIRMAN HANDS: Okay.

MR. REGAN: I guess one last point while -- before we proceed, because I understand, because we were provided copies of public exhibits that Mr. Kaufman presented, but I think consistent with the Board attorney's summary of the Board's action at the conclusion of last month's meeting, we would object to Mr. Kaufman being given the opportunity to provide further objector testimony based on the public exhibits he submitted since he had, you know, extensive period of time to do that two hearings ago. And, in fact, in his testimony, which is reflected in the transcript from that meeting, he indicated that he was through with

1 his professional testimony until public
2 comment.

MS. MAZIARZ: However, I will say if the Board members would like to rescind the prior motion, if there's any reason at any time during this meeting that Board members wish to hear more from other witnesses, the Board can always -- is always permitted, of course. This is -- you know, you're in charge -- to make another motion and permit others to testify.

And I think that before we get into the testimony, I think that if any Board members have an opinion on any of this, that you should be able to go first, before anyone else makes any introduction or gives any testimony. If any Board members have any comments about what has transpired up until this time, then, Board members absolutely should be given the first opportunity this evening to air any of those comments on the record.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you. So just to be clear, then, if we wanted to hear from other folks --

3 undo -- or have another resolution, go back on MS. MAZIARZ: Yes. CHAIRMAN HANDS: -- we would have to what was said before. Could we table that until a later point in time and see how the discussion goes or is that something we have to decide before?

MS. MAZIARZ: No. The Board -- the Board can decide right now if that's something that you are -- you would like to do at this time. That's perfectly acceptable. If the Board, at any time during this next portion, would like to ask questions or needs more clarification, there is nothing that precludes the Board from accepting or asking for more testimony from Mr. Kaufman or from anyone else that may have relevant testimony.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Okay. Thank you.
Is that clear to the Board members and does anybody have any opinion about that situation? Address it now or address it later?
BOARD MEMBER SANDOW: Later. I
propose that we hear the remainder of Mr. Kaufman and his expert's testimony before

1 we start into any discussion.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: To be clear, the point here is whether Mr. Kaufman is able to speak without us going back on the resolution.

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: I'd like to hear what Mr. Kaufman has to say.

MS. MAZIARZ: Well, that's within the Board's purview, but the Board has to agree to rescind --

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Anyone else?
MS. MAZIARZ: -- the decision that was made the last time.

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Yeah, I'd like to hear what Mr. Kaufman has to say and what his planner has to say so this way I can get a holistic view on exactly everything that's in question here so that we, as the Board, can decide on the outcome, on how to take this forward.

BOARD MEMBER MALINOUSKY: I agree with Mr. Jones.

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay.
MAYOR RAE: I'll make the motion.
BOARD MEMBER SANDOW: I'll second that motion.

COORDINATOR COONCE: All in favor?
(Whereupon, a voice vote was taken;
chorus of "ayes" heard.)
COORDINATOR COONCE: Any opposed?
Motion carries. Okay.
So I'm going to bring Mr. Kaufman in as a panelist so we can see him as well, and then he will let me know. I think his attorney is Rob Simon.

Mr. Regan, is that -- do you know?
COORDINATOR COONCE: Yes.
MR. REGAN: Again, I just want to emphasize the applicant objects to this. And we would request, if the Board's going to hear Mr. Kaufman and his planner, that you proceed with hearing his planner first, which is what you said you would do, before hearing Mr. Kaufman again.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Jolanta, is that a fair request?

MS. MAZIARZ: Of course, but it is up to the Board. If the Board would like to hear Mr. Kaufman, the Board is certainly within its right to hear Mr. Kaufman as well. VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Can we hear

Mr. Kaufman, please?
COORDINATOR COONCE: Mr. Kaufman, do you have a planner here with you tonight?

MR. KAUFMAN: I do, yes.
COORDINATOR COONCE: And that person's name is -- oh, that's Mike Pessolano, right there. Okay. I'm going to bring him in as a panelist, too. And based on the conversation of the Board, I'm confused as to whether $I$ should bring in --

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Mr. Simon, do you have to bring him in as well?

MS. MAZIARZ: Yes.
COORDINATOR COONCE: Okay. And I'm going to bring Mr. Simon in as well.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Do I presume it's actually best to hear from the attorney first?

MS. MAZIARZ: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: So could we just start with Mr. Simon.

MS. MAZIARZ: Yes. I heard the applicant make the request that Mr. Simon put on the record who precisely, who exactly, he's representing in this action, in this hearing.

MR. SIMON: Good evening.

11 Herold Law in Warren. I am here on behalf of
12 the following people: Bill Kaufman, 1932 Long
CHAIRMAN HANDS: Does he need to be sworn in or anything? Or he's an attorney, it's not necessary.

MR. SIMON: Good evening, everyone. My name is Rob Simon. Always good to be before this Board. I have been listening, eager to speak. Now that I'm on the, I guess the, quote/unquote, panel, I, in response to a question from Mr. Regan as to who I am representing. So, again, I'm Rob Simon from Hill Road in Millington; John and Emily Caputo, 1842 Long Hill Road in Millington; Christina and David Berquist -- that is B-E-R-Q-U-I-S-T -- 109 River Road, Millington; and Pamela Ogens, $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}, 36$ de Crescent, Millington. Thank you.

MS. MAZIARZ: Thank you.
MR. SIMON: So can I -- I've been
listening with regard to some procedural discussion with regard to the next step in this hearing. And thank you for the motion with regard to opening up Mr. Kaufman's testimony or reopening it. And I actually

1 believe, if you go back and you look at the 2 end of the last transcript, I think there was 3 ambiguity, at best, as to whether Mr. Kaufman 4 had completed or not.

But be that as it may --
MR. REGAN: I object and disagree.
And they should be looked at specifically because it's clear what he said.

MR. SIMON: Mr. Regan, can I --
MR. REGAN: Yes.
MR. SIMON: Thank you.
So with regard to -- regardless, and the record will speak for itself. So the motion was made and the motion was passed.

In terms of the issue as to whether Mr. Pessolano or Mr. Kaufman should go first, I would respectfully submit, on behalf of my clients, that in terms of having appropriate continuity for purposes of this Board's consideration of this application, that

Mr. Kaufman be permitted to complete his testimony so that, again, there's continuity in terms of the arguments that he's making, which will include referencing certain exhibits that he has provided to the Board in

1 advance and that he will introduce this
2 evening, in fact, many of them in response to
3 either some questions or for clarification
4 with regard to some testimony that he was in
5 the process of providing.

6
7

So I believe that, respectfully, that it would be of better service to the Board and to the public that Mr. Kaufman would go first to complete his section of testimony in his role as a professional architect witness before we proceed with the professional planning witness, Mr. Pessolano.

MR. FOURNIADIS: Can I speak?
CHAIRMAN HANDS: I'm sorry, Bob. I apologize.

MR. FOURNIADIS: Can I speak?
When Mr. Kaufman's testimony ended, it ended, and the transcript does speak for itself. And then he requested that we adjourn and extend the application to this evening just because his planner wasn't available at the last meeting. We've been here for eight meetings. All of our professionals have made every meeting. His planner couldn't be at the last meeting and we extended it to this

1 evening just for purposes of his planner's 2 testimony.

And that's in the record and everybody agreed to that and we agreed to that and that's why we gave the extension at the last meeting.

And now we're opening it up again and I'm really wondering if this application will ever end if we just keep reopening it. Mr. Kaufman made his point. He doesn't like our plan. He wants to design something that looks like the building he's designing in Millington that doesn't look like anything else that exists in Millington, the Village of Millington today.

And I just want to put my objection on the record that this Board is going back on what it agreed to last meeting and the meeting before that.

MR. SIMON: Let me just respond to that very briefly by saying the following: As this Board knows, and has been doing this for a very, very long time, that these types of land use proceedings are not necessarily meant to be adversarial. There's a case, an

1 Appellate Division case, called Smith versus
2 Fair Haven, and I cite to it very often for 3 this proposition, which is it is not intended 4 to be an adversarial proceeding. The role of 5 the Board --

9 information as is relevant so that the Board
10 can make an informed decision for the
11 interests of the public and in the interests 12 of the applicant.
already voted. Can we please proceed with Mr. Kaufman. Mr. Fourniadis, Mr. Regan, your objections are duly noted on the record. I've

1 written them and I'm sure that your court
2 reporter has as well.
So without further ado, please let's get Mr. Kaufman.

MR. SIMON: Thank you, Jolanta.
MS. MAZIARZ: Sure.
MR. SIMON: With regard to
Mr. Kaufman, I believe that he was already qualified as a professional architect witness before this Board?

MS. MAZIARZ: He has been qualified and he has been sworn and he remains under oath.

Mr. Kaufman, do you understand that you remain under oath during this hearing?

W I L L I A M K A U FMA N,
having been previously duly sworn, remained under oath and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.
MS. MAZIARZ: Thank you. DIRECT-EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. SIMON:
Q. So, Mr. Kaufman, with regard to this application and the testimony that you provided at the -- at the last hearing, do you have additional

1 information that you would like to provide to the
2 Board for its consideration with a description of
3 that information?

4
5

MR. SIMON: You know what? First of all, I object to the applicant himself, which is via a corporate entity, mounting objections.

MR. FOURNIADIS: I'm an attorney.
MR. SIMON: Are you co-counsel for
purposes of this application? You're not.

1 So -- so with regard to this application, if
2 there's going to be an objection, Mr. Regan is
3 an excellent attorney with a stellar
4 reputation, if there's an objection to be 5 made, Mr. Regan, who is the attorney of record 6 for purposes of this application, should be 7 presenting any objection.

12 misunderstanding here. But I thought at the correct. I did say that.

MAYOR RAE: And so why are we -- is
this what we're doing here? Is this the point of Mr. Kaufman's testimony here? And, if so,

1 why wouldn't it -- why -- why, as I thought we
2 had agreed last meeting, wasn't it discussed 3 with the applicant before we're here tonight?

4 Or have we forgotten all about that and we're
5 moving on to some -- there's some other time 6 being taken here? comment.

Mr. Kaufman, do you want to try to tackle that and then $I$ can respond as well?

MR. KAUFMAN: Sure. I think, you know, we're a little -- there's some confusion on my part and I think on the public part. The end of the last meeting did, in fact, end abruptly in the middle and in the midst of public testimony. It is true that at the meeting previous to last meeting, I had concluded what I thought would be the end of my professional public testimony.

However, a good portion of the last meeting was taken up with back-and-forth

1 between both Board members, the Board
2 attorney, and the applicant trying to
3 ascertain specific objections by the Board or
4 by the public with respect to architectural or
5 ordinance interpretations of what I perceive
6 to be of my testimony.
pictures of -- examples of what $I$ was trying
to explain during my testimony with the lack
of exhibits, to show what could possibly be
conforming within the context of the
applicant's proposed development.
So that's why I thought it would be
good to bring some more exhibits in, allow the
Board and the applicant an opportunity to
respond to them, explain why some of these
options could -- would be conforming to our
ordinance and contrast those against what I've
objected to in terms of the current
application's nonconformity to certain
provisions of the ordinance.
So that's the purpose of putting
these exhibits on. And I could be relatively

1 quick and could bring these in and then we 2 could -- you know, we could go to the planner 3 after that or whatever the Board's pleasure 4 is. But that was the intent. There was a lot 5 of discussion and question about what the word

6 "tweak" meant and it was back-and-forth with 7 the Board attorney and the applicant and the 8 representative from the applicant, trying to 9 define what that even meant.

11 the applicant seemed open to the idea, and he
12 actually brought my name into it, specifically
have to allow me back in and discuss this if that's going to, you know, actually happen. So that's why we're here.

MR. SIMON: And let me just also add from a legal perspective that certainly Mr. Kaufman has already put on the record objections with regard to certain aspects of the design for this application, whether it's violations of uniformity in architectural

1 design or appearance standards, whether it's
2 building design standards, that certainly that
3 in that context, documentation and plans that
4 he's submitting to demonstrate that are
5 certainly relevant.

7

8

That what Mr. Kaufman is going to be presenting, very quickly I may add, with

1 regard to these documents and exhibits is to
2 demonstrate that these -- adherence to some of
3 these architectural design standards, you
4 know, is not impracticable and will not exact
5 undue hardship because of peculiar conditions
6 relating to the site.
7
8 legal perspective, they all -- you know, the 9 proffer is that they all are entirely relevant

10 and we respectfully ask, again, as I stated
11 earlier, let Mr. Kaufman introduce those,
12 present those, make them part of the record, 13 and then the Board can assess them as they

MR. SIMON: Well, I mean,
Mr. Falvey, we need some -- you know, whether you're the applicant or the objector, an

1 interested party, everyone has the right to
2 make a record. And certainly, you know, under
3 those circumstance, I think that even for the
4 couple minutes that he's going to present,
5 that he should be allowed to make a record.

6

BOARD MEMBER FALVEY: Yeah, I --
MR. REGAN: I will respond to
Mr. Falvey and I think the answer is yes on behalf of the applicant.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Okay. So before -I'd just like to say I'd like to see this as a constructive moment or two. Obviously Mr. Kaufman spent time, you know, looking at the designs and stuff, spent time and effort. I think I take this as --

BOARD MEMBER PFEIL: I don't know why --

CHAIRMAN HANDS: -- a constructive attempt, a practical attempt, to maybe help the public, you know, some thoughts that we were last -- and I acknowledge that it's above and beyond what we discussed. But I think if we could get through this detail, maybe we'll come out with an outcome and we'll see where that takes us.

So if we could just take this as a positive constructive moment and work through this and then see where we are. So let's just combine the discussion if we can on this issue at least.

MR. SIMON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
So with that, Mr. Kaufman, if you
want to -- how are you logistically doing this? Is the Board secretary presenting the exhibits?

COORDINATOR COONCE: Mr. Kaufman, you should have -- as a panelist now, you should have the ability. I'm happy to pull them up if you like to, but you should have the ability to share if it makes it easier for you to move -- because that way you could move your cursor around.

MR. KAUFMAN: Sure. Should I pull them from the website? Is that the easier way so that the --

COORDINATOR COONCE: Absolutely. I would suggest pulling them from the website, yes.

MR. KAUFMAN: Let me just grab those quickly. Share screen.

Is the Board seeing my screen, which is the Town website here?

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Yes.
COORDINATOR COONCE: Yes.
MR. KAUFMAN: So I'm going to try to bust through this a little bit here because I know there's some concern over time on this. So I'm going to be try to be respectful of the applicant's time.

BY MR. SIMON:
Q. So, wait. Let me interrupt you, Mr. Kaufman. In the interest of time, then, let me just give you one instruction; that you've been provided all the documents. So for each exhibit, I want you to identify how it is marked, what it represents, and the date of the exhibit and the purpose of the exhibit that you're intending to present to the Board.
A. Okay. All right. Again, I offered the exhibits up as sort of a way to provide some clarification on how some specific measures can be taken to better conform to the ordinance with respect to the architectural considerations that were in my previous testimony.

So I have seven exhibits. So I'm not

1 going to -- I'm going to call them by name for the
2 record of what they are. So proposed Public Exhibit WK-1A, which is titled "Proposed Alternate Elevations."
Q. No, no, no. You have submitted -- I think you had one before that.
A. That one's already on the record, though.
Q. Okay.
A. Yeah.
Q. So is this $W$-- is this wK -- what exhibit is this?
A. WK-1A. It's entitled "Proposed Alternate Design" copyright -- sorry, November 10th.
Q. And did you prepare this, Mr. Kaufman?
A. I did.
Q. Okay. And explain what this depicts.
A. Can I bring the exhibits in and then hit them one at a time -- or hit them in summary rather than do this --
Q. Whatever -- whatever suits your fancy.
A. I think it will just be quicker and easier. We can then refer to them.
Q. Okay.
A. So the second exhibit is $W K-2$.
Q. Well, what about 1B?
A. Sorry. I skipped one here. 1B --wK-1B. They're out of order here a little bit. So WK-1B, "Proposed Alternate Design Showing Neighborhood Context." Again, dated November 10th, 2020.

The third exhibit is WK-2, "Proposed Alternate Site Plan Comparison," dated November 10th, 2020.

Next exhibit, WK-3A, "Stone House Road Comparison," dated November 10th, 2020. All these exhibits prepared by me.

The next exhibit is $W K-3 B$, Stone House -- I'm sorry, "Division Ave. Comparison," dated November 10th, 2020.

WK-4A, "Aerial from North," which is also dated November 10th, 2020.

And the final exhibit is WK-5A, site plan, "Alternate Site Plan," dated November 10, 2020 .
Q. And, Mr. Kaufman, you prepared each one of these exhibits?
A. I did.
Q. Okay. So now what we need you to do is

1 to go back and carefully explain what each depicts 2 and the purpose of you presenting it to the Board.
A. I will do that quickly. There are six essentially salient points that $I$ was making. And these exhibits all try to clarify and illustrate graphically what those were.

So the first point is with respect to 152.1B in the ordinance. We've been through this a number of times. "All new buildings shall be related harmoniously to the natural features of the site."

THE REPORTER: Excuse me. Slow down when you're reading, please.

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
A. 152.1B, which is part of the Long Hill ordinance section that reads "All new buildings shall be related harmoniously to the natural features of the site."

So this exhibit, 3A, the intent -- we heard from Mr. Sandow that the intent of the portion of the ordinance in the last hearing really pertained to the height with respect to the grade along Stone House Road, that the natural sloping features of the site provided -- or triggered the authors of the ordinance to put in a

1 provision to allow 35 feet -- 35-foot, three-story
2 buildings in order to accommodate for the sloping

So there was a lot of discussion on this. We created this illustration with Figure 1 indicating the -- that was part of a previous exhibit that $I$ had put into the record, which was essentially the applicant's design pasted onto a model to give the Board a feeling for what the Stone House Road area would feel like on the western edge of the site, southwestern edge of the site, with two 45-foot-high buildings on 22 feet of fill.

And the illustration immediately below it is the same picture of Stone House Road -- let me just try to make this fill the screen -- with buildings that are stepping with the grade. So in summary, really, this is really just an example of how -- an option where the buildings could be split and then stepped to meet the existing grade.

The current typical design that the applicant has submitted is split -- each building is split in two with a demising wall or a firewall that goes vertically between the units, splitting

1 the building essentially in half. So literally by 2 splitting the building just along that demising 3 wall, the layout of the interior spaces would 4 remain unchanged and the buildings that would need 5 to be constructed along a sloped area then could 6 essentially just be shifted in elevation along 7 that demising wall to allow it to gently slope 8 down with the grade along Stone House Road and it 9 would affect potentially up to five or so of the 10 total 14 proposed buildings.

Moving quickly to 1A, again, keeping in the theme of 152.1B, "All new buildings shall be related harmoniously to the natural features of the site," the second phase of that is a run-on sentence and followed by the words "and to existing buildings and other substantial structures in the vicinity that have a visual relationship to the proposed building or buildings."

And we talked extensively about this and we crossed the applicant's architect, but essentially what we were trying to explain was if you surveyed all the buildings in Millington, and mine happens to be one of them, it has nothing to do with my building, contrary to what the

1 applicant might believe, is that they are a 2 combination of masonry structures, brick and 3 stucco predominantly. There are no other 4 materials used on any commercial building in the 5 downtown Millington area, and our planner will 6 testify to this.

There was a lot of discussion about how these exteriors could be fenestrated a little bit more differently rather than just sort of an institutional layout of the windows. And by creating some of these aspect -- and, I'm sorry, I should be pointing here. The lower drawing on this Exhibit 1A indicates a Stone House Road elevation and how it would be split. The dimensions and design proportions are the exact same to which the applicant had presented. The center of this building is split along the demising wall to allow it to step down with the grade along Stone House Road.

All of the rooms on the inside have been accounted for. So living rooms and master bedrooms in the same exact locations. No walls on the inside would need to be changed. This is just windows, bay windows. The developer I'm sure has a lot of experience with creating indoor/outdoor environments, balconies, what have you. These are all elements of design that are consistent with residential -- quality residential development.

So that's what this exhibit was intended to do, to portray. And you can see the mix of

1 materials. It's simply just stucco and brick.
2 It's not complicated. Same kind of materials that
3 the developer had originally proposed. And,
4 again, the difference is that this one is not a 5 centrally organized scheme. It's just a

6 symmetrical one that provides a little bit
7 difference. This is just one example --

1 the height variance and conflict with the 2 ordinance.

And then Figure 2 shows -- the lower right shows the same perspective, but with Building Number 8 in the exact same spot, just with a step-down design and the two varying materials; and then, immediately to the north, a two-and-a-half story structure.

The two-and-a-half story structure would then obviously have a front yard and a front yard setback facing Division Ave., not all that dissimilar to the larger buildings presented by the developer. But it's just here to illustrate that a two-and-a-half story building, how that would look on the -- in the context of Division Ave. and how it would frame Division Ave.

The only other thing that is suggested here is possibly, and this was discussed during testimony earlier, that the perpendicular nature of these buildings with the sides and the service entrances facing Division Ave. as opposed to a longer facade might better enhance the streetscape. And we have discussion with the applicant's architect about a streetscape village kind of design.

The fifth point would be back to Exhibit 1B. This fifth point is -- there was quite a bit of discussion regarding the commercial building and there was a willingness, it seemed, on the applicant's part to redesign this building as a condition of any approval.

So there was argument that was made that the proposed commercial building doesn't fully meet the intent of the definition for a mixed use because that is the definition. The name sake of the ordinance, $M U-O$, is mixed-use overlay or mixed use ordinance. And so we also heard some testimony that it might be too small to make any kind of reasonable impact or draw any traffic. I know the Board members had brought some of this up.

So we had just taken a quick look at this to see if the building -- and there was also, by the way, just some discussion on making that building more industrial and I think that the applicant had asked what that meant because it's really not an easily definable term. And there was some back-and-forth with Mr. Fourniadis and myself about trying to preserve some of the existing building and that was not my intent.

So this exhibit, 1B, this perspective means to show that a more industrial-style building that references the history of Millington and the context of its scale on that street could be achieved in that area.

In this particular case, we felt, and one of the things that was discussed, was that that building was a little too small and -possibly the proposed applicant's building perhaps was a little too small and maybe even apologetic in terms of its size and its impact.

And so bringing it up to a 10,000-foot footprint would give the applicant or the developer substantially more rentable area and more commercial space that's more meaningful. Immediately to the west of that building in that same location would be some kind of a quasi-public plaza there. That was also discussed in Mr. Caputo's testimony and I think some members of the Board also were looking for a little more public interaction.

So this is just an example of how that could be achieved in that exhibit.

And then the sixth point, just kind of in keeping with Exhibit 1B, was back to LU 135,

1 uniformity and architectural design and
2 appearance. And it can be seen just in this
3 Exhibit 1B, there's a varied -- a variation of
4 these buildings. They're not just 14 exact
5 replications, although they are identical in floor
6 plan and building height, height and size and
7 area. They simply just swap some of the materials
8 back and forth and some of the bay windows. And
9 you can create -- it's possible to easily, without
10 any, you know, cost generative measures, to really
11 just add -- or just diversify the design and make
12 it little bit different rather than just one really just to show that there wasn't a radical change or departure from the applicant's design. Every building -- one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight -- all along the bottom and the side remain exactly in the same place. There's some topography changes to make it conform with

1 Stone House Road.

The interior buildings are largely the same except that we've created, in this particular suggestion, just illustrate to show that all their program would fit in here and you could get a large more large -- a lot more meaningful open green space, which is consistent with the master plan goals with any new development. Sort of promote more open and expansive green space. We did this also just to do a double count on the recount. I mean, there's been testimony we feel the site is possibly way overparked, but in this case, we have the exact same number of parking spaces as the applicant did. So -- maybe even a surplus of them.

So that's the -- that was the purpose of these exhibits. I think it's -- the thing that was left unsaid in a lot of these meetings is that the people who are going to be living here are, you know, also -- those future residents are also going to be residents of Millington. I just think that at some point their interests should be represented as well. And the idea that -- you know, we understand the developer has his needs and wants to make this project go and we're all

1 supportive of him putting a responsible project in
2 here for certain, but $I$ think it's important to
3 look at the full impact and what's possible here
4 rather than just what the bare minimum standards 5 is without breaking the law or even, in our case, 6 in the case of this developer, we think he is in 7 violation of a number of ordinances requiring 8 variances. you've presented now to the Board, they don't adversely impact the number of affordable units that would be on this site, correct?
A. No, not at all. In fact, there are some -- if the units that we were discussing along -- and I'm pointing here to Exhibit 4A, to the upper left-hand corner of 4A. There's a two-story, two-and-a-half story building there. Then in the foreground on the northern side that faces the railroad track, another two-and-a-half story building. Arguably, that would reduce the density of those two buildings by however the developer would redesign them, whether the upper or lower units. And those displaced units can be easily located into the upper floor of the commercial building and then providing some

1 activity and some vibrancy to the commercial
2 building on the corner, making it a truly
3 mixed-use building.

10 look. WK -- you know what? I don't know that 11 it is, but it doesn't need to be. There was

12 no testimony given on WK.
MR. SIMON: I have -- other than to move -- so the record is complete for purposes of this application, $I$ guess, since -- Bill, you said Exhibit WK is already part of the record?

THE WITNESS: Let me go back and

MR. SIMON: Okay. Do you want to -do you want to present wk right now or do you not want to?

THE WITNESS: Let's just say that WK, Exhibit WK -- actually, I'm sorry, WK was -- Deb, you did mark this in. This is the one that we referred to. This is a series of multiple drawings that $I$ provided testimony on. I thought it was just the first slide, but it's a series of one, two, three, four, five, six slides. It's a superimposition of the applicant's engineering plan and the applicant's architectural plans extracted from

1 the website and built into a three-dimensional 2 model.

MR. SIMON: No, that's okay. I just wanted to clarify. Thank you for that, though.

So I guess with that explanation, you know, we would move Public Exhibit WK-1A, 1B, 2, 3A, 3B, I guess it's 4A, and 5A into the record as established by foundation from Mr. Kaufman.

And then, as well, of course, open it up to any questions of Mr. Kaufman based on

1 his testimony and any exhibits he just 2 presented.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you.
Thank you, Bill, for going through that. I do appreciate the effort that's gone into that and I'm just taking it at face value, to be honest. But this is just some considerations and thoughts about questions of the design. Obviously you've gone a little bit further than that.

So any Board comments or questions?
I'm open for them.
BOARD MEMBER MALINOUSKY: Just a quick question about WK-3A, the Stone House Road view. You mentioned that if you changed the grades on the buildings, it would affect four or five buildings. What buildings would be affected? What numbers?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
BOARD MEMBER MALINOUSKY: And are they all on Stone House?

THE WITNESS: It would really depend on the final grading plan. My professional estimation would be Building Number 5, which is the building on -- if you're looking at

1 Exhibit 3A, Figure 2, the one on the upper
2 left of that figure. So that's Building
3 Number 5. Six, seven, eight. And in the
4 concept that we had shown Building Number 9
5 rotated to this direction, possibly Number 9,
6 depending --

8 you say "rotated to this direction," you need
9 to use your words to describe it for the
10 record. I'm sorry.

11

12 Exhibit --

22 are located parallel to Stone House Road, one 23 layer deep. perpendicular to 7 .

THE WITNESS: Right. If we look at Exhibit 5A on the site plan, I mentioned, as we're looking at this illustration, on the western part of the site is Building Number 5 where the cursor is pointed. It follows counterclockwise to Buildings 6, 7, 8. In the applicant's version, Building Number 9 and 10

In this proposed reconfiguration, Building Number 9 has been rotated

1 clockwise -- or counterclockwise 90 degrees.

So that building possibly, depending on the final grading of this -- of the site and how much, you know, additional retaining walls and things, that would possibly. So my estimation would be those five buildings.

BOARD MEMBER MALINOUSKY: Okay. Thank you for that.

And then just one other question. You testified that the number of Fair Share Housing units wouldn't decrease. What about the overall number of units?

THE WITNESS: No. Hundred -- still the exact same density and the exact same ratio of Fair Share to market rate.

BOARD MEMBER MALINOUSKY: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: I can't see the

```
panel, so --
```

BOARD MEMBER SANDOW: It's Dennis. Dennis has questions.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you, Dennis.
BOARD MEMBER SANDOW: Bill, would you put 3A back on, please? Yeah.

In the applicant's site plan, the buildings on Stone House Road are elevated

1 above the road by a couple of walls, retaining
2 walls. And behind those retaining walls,
3 there's about 20 - or 25,000 yards of fill.

By eliminating the fill, you've left some slope here. And I'm wondering if you would like to comment about the effect of the existing slope on this development with regard to walking and with regard to sloped parking areas and so on.

THE WITNESS: Sure. You know, they're -- it would be, you know, in a perfect -- and Mr. Lanzafama said that the plan that was submitted was an engineer's dream insofar as $I$ think he was referring to how matters of grade and topography were largely ignored and it was simply just a flat site with all uniform heights and uniform buildings and everything was exactly the same.

You know, I guess from a build-ability standpoint or a walkability standpoint, one could argue that makes it easier. There are no steep slopes associated with this whole entire -- with this existing topography. Anyone who's had the opportunity to walk that site or be around it could know that it's walkable and driveable. There are no requirements beyond the RSIS road pitch that I'm aware of that would preclude the development from being -- relating to existing grades and topography. There's nothing too steep that it would require steps and sidewalks or those kind of things that could be all engineered in.

The requirements for ADA are the immediate vicinity of the handicap parking spaces, to which we had quite a bit of discussion on with the applicant's engineer and maybe the architect, but I think it was mostly the engineer. And the precincts around those buildings could easily be manipulated to accommodate ADA requirements.

So there's really nothing that would preclude -- in fact, I would argue that this

1 would save the developer a huge amount of cost 2 on infrastructure to step buildings as opposed 3 to building 22 feet of a series of stacked 4 retaining walls and compacting that fill and 5 attempting to try to keep those buildings 6 stabilized, on stabilizers. It's a tremendous 7 undertaking to try to level a site like this.

Exhibit 5A on the lower southeastern corner of the site. We have noted that perhaps a sidewalk -- it would be appropriate to have a sidewalk at least down to the entrance of the community, the southern entrance of the community, up to Division Avenue.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you.
Dennis, is that the end of your

```
question --
```

BOARD MEMBER SANDOW: I notice you have dropped a few plastic trees into this drawing.

Is there any -- anything in your
plan that would limit the number of trees that are planted to conform to the landscaping ordinance?

THE WITNESS: No.
BOARD MEMBER SANDOW: Thank you.
That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you, Dennis.
MR. LANZAFAMA: Mr. Chairman, I have

```
a few questions -- or clarifications, if you
``` don't mind.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Yes, please.
MR. LANZAFAMA: Mr. Kaufman, please

1 bring back up the Division -- or, excuse me, 2 the Stone House Road view we just had up. MR. SIMON: That's 3A?

MR. LANZAFAMA: I believe, yes, 3A. THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. LANZAFAMA: So the images that you have depicted in the lower right-hand corner, your proposed rendering and location of the buildings, you're telling me that we're -- with the stepping of the buildings, we're going to be able to eliminate all 22 feet of fill that the applicant was proposing? THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. I think in the -- if I might just go to the original alternate elevation. Along Stone House Road, we had just made estimated -MR. SIMON: I'm sorry. Mr. Kaufman --

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. This is Exhibit 1A, the second figure on the bottom of Exhibit 1A is the Stone House Road elevation where \(I\) propose, at least -- again, this is just a suggestion. We had anticipated about 4 feet of drop with each split. And given that, you know, at least -- just the three buildings

1 there, obviously that gives you 12 feet of
2 drop and then some distance between the next
3 two buildings, would still probably provide
4 some minor retaining wall at the bottom just
5 for defensible purposes on the site and
6 probably just to keep it from just -- the toe
7 of the slope from sloping off.

11 show scale. I can pull that back up again for
12 you --
MR. LANZAFAMA: Go to your site plan, 5A.

THE WITNESS: Sure.
MR. LANZAFAMA: Okay. Now, you
notice that on the opposite side of the buildings from which you showed in both exhibits, there's driveways and garages. How do you propose to transition 4 feet between these garages?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Between each
building -- it's relatively easy. The road itself will be sloping at a natural slope, probably not nearly as steep right now as

1 currently Stone House Road is. And then a
2 retaining wall between -- right at the
3 designing wall would be required to extend out
4 to split these two parking lots to be level or
5 near level. They'd still have some slope,
6 but --

7

MR. LANZAFAMA: From an engineering point of view, that would be extremely difficult and would run into some serious problems with the entries to the buildings. I don't want you to mislead the Board and make them think that this is the solution to some of the issues that have cropped up with regard to this application.

I agree, you could probably step the buildings. I don't know if you can step them in the location that you are proposing. If you look at their typical building, they have six garages, grouped in pairs of two.

Is it possible to step the building between the entrances to each of the units? For example, take -- if you look at the original site plan, which is in the Prism submission, you take any one of the buildings. Take Building 7, which fronts on Stone House.

1 You have a dual garage, side by side. Then 2 you have a lawn panel and a sidewalk to the 3 front entry. would be problematic for the building and for the site because then the driveway grades are not going to work and you're not going to be able to get ADA accessibility to the units on the first floor.

Remember, every unit has to be ADA accessible when they're rentals. And I don't think the stepping of the buildings -- I think you're going to create some problems with regard to that.

I don't disagree. You can introduce some step, maybe a foot, at each of those breaks. So maybe a 2 -foot step might work, but not a 4-foot step. I think that would be too extreme and would create a number of site issues that might be unsurmountable --

1 insurmountable with regard to ADA
2 accessibility to every unit.

And you're going to be able to step down -- I like your idea of the way you've reoriented the buildings and created the large open space in the center of the site. I think that works well. But look at the way -- the only way that you're taking advantage of the site's topography are the perpendicular units to Stone House Road, stepping down from the railroad area down towards the southwest corner, and then coming down along Stone House, you may be accomplishing some.

But I still think the unit -Building Number 6 and 7 is still going to be probably 8 to 10 feet above the road. I just want the Board to understand that; that you're not going to be at grade by the time you get to that corner. I just don't think it's possible.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, and let me say \(I\) don't disagree with the majority of what you're saying. I do disagree, respectfully, with that's not an appropriate place to put the building because there are no residential

1 man doors in those locations. Four feet might 2 be a little high, might be a little tough. It 3 could be 2 feet, could be 3 feet. It could 4 vary. This is just suggested.

5 I think there has to be a little bit 6 closer look at the site plan. I don't

7 disagree that you might have some small
8 buildup at the lower corner of that site just
9 for practical purposes because it does dip
10 off, but 22 feet \(I\) think we can all agree is
11 highly inappropriate and not in keeping with
12 the natural features of the site and that's
13 what we're trying to avoid.

15 practice to step buildings, particularly large
16 developments, across the site, not build them

1 all of that fill and those retaining walls can 2 be eliminated by your concept of stepping the 3 buildings. It's going to cause some other 4 issues.

5 I do agree you're going to reduce 6 the amount of fill and you can put some 7 stepping in the buildings, and I don't 8 disagree with some of your ideas with regard 9 to the facades and the orientations of the 10 buildings. I love the mixed-use building on

11 the corner. It's just, unfortunately, this is 12 not what the applicant has brought to us. professionals. Liz, did you have any comments as well that are of interest?

MS. LEHENY: A couple of comments and then \(I\) just have a couple of questions. One, I also echo Mr. Lanzafama's comments on the facades. I think these are actually great improvements to what has been previously presented.

My one question for you, just so I understand your site plan, is where have you reduced the heights to two and a half stories?

THE WITNESS: So on the screen now, you see building -- Exhibit 1B, proposal -MS. LEHENY: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Okay. On the eastern side, the buildings along Division Avenue, which currently in the current applications are Buildings Number 10 and 12 --

MS. LEHENY: Okay.
THE WITNESS: -- have been replaced, where my cursor is pointing, on the easterly side of the development. That's a two-and-a-half story building, in that location, rotated parallel to Division Avenue. MS. LEHENY: So, sorry. Just so I understand, that is what is in the current site plan, two buildings. You've combined them or --

THE WITNESS: Well, not combined the building. Simply just -- we eliminated one or moved one. We moved that building north on the site. We just relocated it. That particular area, we have one building instead -- in lieu of two there. And then on the northern part of the site, there is not a building in the current application's proposal

1 along Commerce Street and that building also
2 is now a two-and-a-half story building as
3 well, as required by the ordinance.

THE WITNESS: The building to the west of the mixed-use building?

MS. LEHENY: Yeah. There's the building to the west. Isn't there yet another building to the west of that?

THE WITNESS: That's Building Number
1 in the applicant's proposal.
MS. LEHENY: And is that two and a
half stories in your proposal or no?
THE WITNESS: It currently is not. It is still remaining the three-story building, buildingwise. But it's not my -- I want to be very clear. This is not my

1 proposal. As Mr. Fourniadis will, I'm sure, 2 and his attorney will tell you, I'm not the 3 applicant. These are just suggested

4 alternatives to show that it could be 5 conforming. 11 Number 1 requires a height variance because it 12 needs to be two and a half stories, not three,

There is the question of Building
7 Number 1, whether that still is facing the
8 north property line. There are several north
9 property lines. We had a lot of discussion on this. Should the Board deem that Building there's plenty of room in 10,000 square feet of footprint to get six units that would potentially be displaced. I don't think you would lose all six, but I'm not the applicant's architect. But plenty of room in 10,000 feet to make up that lost space and not have loss of density.

MS. LEHENY: Okay. Because that was my next question, actually, was how many units, you know, ballpark, you thought would have to be relocated to that nonresidential building.

THE WITNESS: Yes. Four or six in

1 this particular scheme depending on -- and, 2 again, I'm assuming -- and for purposes of 3 simplicity's sake, I assumed that we wouldn't 4 occupy the half story, which is permittable by 5 code because it could be dormered. When I say

6 "this," the top floors of the buildings that 7 the applicant had proposed could be occupied 8 as a half story, just not a full story, and 9 still comply with the ordinance.

11 units up there or stretch them up into those 12 spaces, less would have to be displaced -- or 13 not displaced, just moved to a different 14 location such as the mixed-use building.

MS. LEHENY: Okay. Sorry. Just to be clear, it's four per building that would be stepped down or is it four to six total?

THE WITNESS: The easiest way for me to describe this is there are two per building because of the lower floor units. Again, that would be up to the applicant. If it were me, I probably would move those units just because there's only two and each -- on the ground floors and they could easily be moved to the second floor of the mixed-use building.

MS. LEHENY: Okay. Those are my only questions right now.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Okay. Any Board members, comments?

Frank, is it appropriate to hand it over to yourselves for comments at this point?

MR. REGAN: We have no comments at this time.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Okay. Mr. Simon, then, can \(I\) hand it --

MR. SIMON: Sure. I don't know, Mr. Chairman, if you want to open it up to any member of the public to ask a question. I would think that that would probably be next. And then we would go to Mr. Pessolano.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: That's fair. That's fair. How about we do that. Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER PFEIL: Mr. Chairman, a suggestion. It's five after nine. Good time for a ten-minute break.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: If everybody's
agreeable, then that sounds fine. 9:15? I don't have my clock in front of me.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Yes. It's

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you, Deb.
```

How about 9:15 then?

```

BOARD MEMBER PFEIL: Good.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you, all.
(Whereupon, a recess is taken.)
COORDINATOR COONCE: Mr. Chairman,
```

we'll --

```

CHAIRMAN HANDS: We'll go to public comment?

COORDINATOR COONCE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: I see one.
COORDINATOR COONCE: Yes. Don
```

Farnell.

```

MR. FARNELL: I don't care to make a comment at this point. I'm sorry.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Oh, okay. All
right. He lowered his hand. Okay. Again, this is time for questions from the public with regard to the testimony from Mr. Bill Kaufman and his professionals.

I do not see any questions, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you.
Mr. Simon, back to you now.

MR. SIMON: Yes. So I think at this point, then, I'm going to call Mr. Pessolano, our professional planner expert.

MR. PESSOLANO: Good evening.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: Good evening.
MR. SIMON: So, Mr. Chairman, if Mr. Pessolano can be sworn, please.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Yeah.
M I C H A E L J.
P E S S O L A N O, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

MS. MAZIARZ: Please state your name for the record and spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: I am Michael J.
Pessolano. That's spelled \(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}\). Licensed professional planner in the State of New Jersey.

MS. MAZIARZ: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
MR. SIMON: Thank you.
DIRECT-EXAMINATION
BY MR. SIMON:
Q. Mr. Pessolano, if you could please provide your background and credentials, including your profession -- your professional

1 qualifications, occupation, affiliation, and areas 2 of expertise.
A. Gladly. It's nice to join in with this interesting application. I have served as a licensed professional planner for close to 40 years, originally starting in planning in 1979, so I guess I have hit the 40 -year mark. Originally licensed in 1984 as a licensed professional planner.

Roughly half of my career over that time has been as an in-house municipal planner and the other half serving as a consulting planner. In the latter part of my career now, I find myself almost nightly visiting with boards like yours to discuss development applications and very common perspectives.

I have a master in urban planning degree from the City University of New York, Hunter College, and I have served roughly half a dozen northern New Jersey municipalities as their Board planner. Also served as the City planner for Hackensack and Township planner for Livingston.

And my license remains in good standing. I'm a member of the American Institute of Certified Planners and that status is also in good
standing.
My core competency -- one of my core competencies in my profession is review and critique of development applications.

So with all that experience with those boards, I've had plenty of opportunity to do a lot of that type of work. That prepares me very particularly for the task at hand, which is to evaluate this application from a planning perspective.
Q. And, Mr. Pessolano, you've testified, of course, before countless zoning and planning boards throughout the State of New Jersey, correct?
A. Many hundreds of times.
Q. And you were qualified on those occasions as a professional planning witness, correct?
A. That is correct.

MR. SIMON: We request that
Mr. Pessolano be qualified as an expert witness, as a licensed professional planner.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Yes. Thank you very much for that.

MR. SIMON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

BY MR. SIMON:
Q. Mr. Pessolano, are you familiar with the project and the property and the surrounding neighborhood?
A. Yes. I evaluated those quite closely, taking pains to understand the site and the setting by physically inspecting it, as well as the surrounding neighborhood. Looked at the development plans and the relative aspects of the municipal regulations that apply to this project.
Q. When you say "the municipal regulations," you're talking about the ordinance and the master plan?
A. Yes.
Q. And have you also had an occasion to review the professional reports that were submitted by the Board professionals in connection with this application?
A. I did.
Q. And are you prepared this evening to provide your opinions as a professional planner with regard to the application that has been presented to date by the applicant?
A. I am.
Q. Okay. Please proceed.
A. Thank you.

I want to lead off by expressing that this evaluation is interesting because it relates to things that are what they are, I will say, not that anyone has purposefully proposed them. But I think that my review of the ordinance provisions has revealed some things that may not have been on the table previously. So here goes.

The higher tiers of relief are, of course, in the use realm -- the higher tiers of zoning, I should say, are squarely in the realm of permitted uses. So in my evaluation, I noticed several things that \(I\) believe require this application to obtain "D" relief, in other words use relief, from the Zoning Board of Adjustment. And the first one is concerning a provision that limits -- a provision in the ordinance that limits the number of principal permitted uses per lot to one. We have not only 14 individual principal buildings representing a residential component of this project, there's also a principal commercial structure and a swimming pool and a clubhouse. All of those representing distinct principal uses.

And I make that conclusion because the ordinance language does not even mention accessory uses. And I'm speaking of land use Section 122.15 .3 dealing with the MU-O overlay district regulations.

Again, maybe that was just an oversight or whatever, but it is what it is. I'm here to be the umpire, so to speak, to call them like I see them, not to criticize what they are any further.

But I believe that relief is needing to be obtained. I could not see anything in the ordinance language concerning permitted uses other than a description of the types of uses that would be permitted just as in any zoning district where you have a list of permitted use -- excuse me, permitted uses.
Q. So, Mr. Pessolano, I'm sorry to interrupt, but just for clarification purposes, are you referring to Section 103.5 of the ordinance where it talks about the fact that --
A. Yes.
Q. -- no more than one principal building shall be permitted on any one lot in the township?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay.
A. I meant to mention that ordinance section.
Q. Yeah.

And then it has certain exceptions for certain zones, is that accurate?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And is the MU-O zone one of those exceptions?
A. It is not listed in the exceptions from that provision.
Q. And does that imply or does it actually state, then, that the application is being -- as currently submitted anyway -- is technically violative of that section of the ordinance?
A. That is my conclusion.
Q. And with regard to, just so we're clear, before you move on, that the Municipal Land Use Law -- and you keep talking about "D" variances. You're talking about the provisions in the Municipal Land Use Law related to Section 40:55D-70(d) of the Municipal Land Use Law, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And D-1 prohibits -- or requires a D-1 variance for a use that's not permitted, as

1 well as a principal structure or building that's 2 not permitted, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. And so does that form the basis, at least so far with regard to your testimony, of the need for the applicant, based on how it decided to design the project, to seek relief under Section 103.5 of the ordinance?
A. That is correct, Mr. Simon.
Q. Okay. Please proceed. Thank you.
A. Thank you.

Another \(D-1\) variance for a use not permitted pertains specifically to the swimming pool and the clubhouse uses. Again, the language of the ordinance section creating the MU-O district does not mention accessory uses at all. And I saw nowhere else in the ordinance that would automatically authorize these structures to appear. There is a section of the ordinance that speaks to swimming pools being permitted as accessory uses in certain zones. And, again, the MU-O district was not listed as one of those zones where swimming pools as accessory uses are permitted so --
Q. Is that --Mr. Pessolano, that's Section
124.5 of the ordinance?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Please proceed.
A. So that's all I need to say about that element. Again, it is what it is.
Q. And because swimming pools are only permitted accessory uses in certain zones, but not in the MU-O zone, then a form of variance relief is required, is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And that's because under Section \(D-1\) of the Municipal Land Use Law states that that form of use variance is required for not just the principal use, but also an accessory use that is not permitted in a particular zone to seek that relief? That is what necessitates the D-1 relief that's required for purposes of the swimming pool.
A. Yes. For any use that's not permitted, it's considered prohibitive. So it has to be specifically permitted in the ordinance language.
Q. And would that apply to the clubhouse as well?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Please proceed.
A. Thank you. There is something that I will come back to, but while I'm on the D-1 relief, for the sake of organization, I'm also going to speak to D-1 relief for occupancy of a third story where the height limit is limited to two and a half stories. And I need to enter the second part of that for all this just to make sense, but I just wanted to let everyone know that there is also that third element of D-1 relief in this application.

I believe that a D-4 variance is also needed concerning floor area ratio requirement that is presented in land use Section 132.6. It provides -- its title is "Floor Area Ratio in Residential Zones." The MU-O district is labeled specifically in the ordinance definitions as one of the zones that is considered a residential zone. It's under the definition "Zone, Residential." It lists a number of zones including the MU-O district. So there is no disputing, in my mind, that the MU-O zone is a residential zone and that this section relates to all residential zones where floor area ratio is measured and limited.

So the table in Section 132.6 provides for a graduated way of providing for total square

1 footage of floor area based on the size of the 2 lot. And this subject property at nearly 12 acres 3 is in the highest category of allowance for square 4 footage of floor area being in the 150,000 square 5 feet and over category.

In the situation where you have more than 150,000 square feet of lot area, there's also an additional allowance of 3 percent of the amount of lot area that's over 150,000 square feet. And doing the math, that amounts to \(10,919.4\) square feet for a lot overage over 150,000 -- bear with me just a second -- amounting to -- hold on one second, please.

The amount -- the total lot area in square feet for the subject application is 513,980 square feet. Subtracting 150,000 square foot from that to participate in the formula in the ordinance for FAR leaves in excess of 363,980 square feet above the 150,000 upper range. Three percent of that 363,980 square feet comes to \(10,919.4\) square feet per my math. Add that to the allowance in the table for 150,000 square feet and up parcels of 10,000 square feet, that's how I came up with the total floor area allowance of \(20,919.4\) square feet.

The site plan that's submitted relies on an FAR limit of .5 . That is expressed in the development regulation section of the ordinance language, 122.15.3. And that, again, calls for a limit of .5 and the applicant has submitted a plan that proposes a . 4 FAR, floor area ratio, for a total of 203,962 square feet.
Q. So the bottom line here is that the applicant, because of the fact that the MU-O zone, when it was created, was actually identified as a residential zone, with residential uses at the time, and as a result of that, there's a discrepancy with regard to the ordinance and that, in fact -- that based on Section 132.6 regarding floor area ratios in residential zones, that the applicant may require, in fact, a floor area ratio variance under 40:55D-70(d)(4) of the Municipal Land Use Law?
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. Please proceed.
A. Thank you.

So now we come to that provision that was tied to the other D-1 variance that I touched upon for the third floor occupancy. And this is another form of "D" relief required pursuant to

1 the statute under the D-6 provision, which is for
2 a height in excess of 10 feet or 10 percent 3 greater than the maximum height limit for the 4 district. 45 feet.

The specific buildings that are triggering this variance are Buildings 1 and 14, which face the New Jersey Transit railroad tracks, and Buildings 12, 10 and 8, which face Division Avenue. The height limit for those buildings, I believe, needs to be lowered to two and a half stories and 35 feet.

But because I believe that that is the case, the application also proposes a third floor occupancy where only two and a half stories of occupancy, use occupancy, are permitted. And so because of that conflict, there's another D-1 variance for that use on the third floor.
Q. Well, when you talk about the use of the third floor, is it a \(D-1\) or are you considering

1 that as a D -- as a D-6?
A. I'm considering it as a D-1 use on the heels of an actual application \(I\) testified on in Millington, actually for my client's building. Mr. Kaufman had a project and one of the issues was, as expressed by the Board's former planner, Mr. O'Brien, that use relief was needed to have a third floor of use where only two and a half floors of use are permitted.

And on the basis of that experience and for the sake of consistency with the way the zoning ordinance was interpreted at that time, I find it a similar situation.
Q. All right. Is there also another form of a "D" variance relief required, namely \(D-6 ?\)
A. The D-6 relief is now for the setback -I'm sorry, for the height of buildings facing Division street and the New Jersey railroad tracks. As I said, there are --
Q. When you say -- Mr. Pessolano, let me interrupt you for a second. You say the railroad tracks. Does Commerce Street factor into that as well?
A. Not -- in my view, the railroad tracks are the destination for the measurement and the

1 nearest building is the starting point for that 2 measurement. Commerce Street does not represent any structure that would interfere with that line-of-sight, if you will, between those reference points that are specifically mentioned in the language of the ordinance limits for height in the MU-O zone. Specifically mentions New Jersey Transit railroad tracks and it specifically mentions Division Avenue as the two places from which only two-and-a-half-story, 35-foot tall buildings should be seen as the first view from those vantage points.
Q. Thank you for that clarification. Please proceed.
A. You're welcome.

Other buildings within the interior of the property are permitted to be three stories and 45 feet. I certainly have no dispute with that. And, as well, on Stone House Road, it specifically mentions that three-story height within 45 feet, also a permitted height along that road.

So the only buildings that do not comply, in my view, are those five that I mentioned. I can repeat them again if anybody wishes.
Q. So with regard to the five buildings that don't comply, when you say they don't comply, they don't comply with the MU-O ordinance?
A. Yes.
Q. And they don't comply with the MU-O ordinance as to height?
A. That is correct. And so because -where the height limit is 35 feet, 10 percent of that is 3 and \(1 / 2\) feet. The proposed buildings are more than twice that at 45 feet, so it's clearly greater than 10 percent differential when measured that way. The half a story more, also another measure of 10 percent -- of greater than 10 percent if you look at it that way.
Q. Okay. So with regard to the deficient areas that you've just identified, do those variations from the ordinance trigger a D-6 variance? Or, in other words, a violation of 40:55D -- I'm sorry, violation of the ordinance requiring relief from NJS 40:55D-70(d) (6)?
A. That is correct.
Q. And, Mr. Pessolano, are there any other what we call "D" variances beyond those that you've identified to the Board this evening?
A. I will say that I believe a D-6 height

1 variance may also be implicated on the Stone House
2 Road fronting buildings. I don't have enough
3 information to pinpoint the deviation and where it
4 starts or ends. But as I look at it as a planner
5 and a person who understands the purpose of height
6 regulations, there's something amiss with the
7 extreme differential from the grade level in front
8 of those buildings to the top, exaggerating by far
9 the permitted 45 height limit to as much as 15 testimony, would have on top of it a 45-foot-tall

16 building. That is a massive change in the perhaps 70 feet.

As I take a quick look at the road elevation of Stone House Road by Building 6, in particular that's where the greatest amount of fill that Mr . Kaufman was referring to in his viewshed there and inconsistent with purposes of height regulation.

I think it needs to be looked at carefully by the design team on the applicant's side to make that a better fit. But I am not able to say which exactly -which type of relief, whether it's a D-6 variance or a bulk variance pursuant to Section \(C\) of that same statute for this

1 particular location.
Q. And with regard to the application that was presented, this applicant, to your knowledge, has not made an application for any form of variance relief to your knowledge, is that accurate?
A. That's accurate.
Q. And you have reviewed the testimony of Mr. Kaufman in connection -- and I'm going to just stop it right there -- in connection with what you've testified to so far regarding the necessity for various forms of "D" variance relief, correct?
A. I've discussed it with him in person as well.
Q. And any of the testimony that he -- do you -- let me back up and state it a different way.

Do you concur with his analysis, as an architect of course, you know, in terms of how he got to the determination that various forms of \(D-6\) variance relief is required?
A. I concur with his analysis on that topic.
Q. And with regard to the "D" variances or variances pursuant to N.J.S. 40:55D-70(d), that

1 those type of variances require relief pursuant to
2 the Municipal Land Use Law by the municipality's
3 Board of Adjustment, correct?
A. That is correct. The Planning Board is constrained from considering "D" relief altogether.
Q. All right. So if there's no other "D" variances that you've identified, why don't you move on to any other analysis you've made as a professional planner with regard to this application?
A. Yes. I find that there's bulk relief required pursuant to Section 135.1 of the land use ordinance and that pertains to uniformity in design. All of the items under -- with 130 in front of it are variance items when they're not complied with according to the language of the statute -- I'm sorry, to the language of the ordinance. I think another section --
Q. Mike, just stop right there. Let me interrupt you just so the record is clear. So that in your experience over 40 years, you've reviewed countless ordinances that are divided between zoning regulations and what we'll call site plan or design standards, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And each municipality determines on its own where the dividing line is; in other words, which provisions fall under the zoning ordinance and which provisions fall under what we'll call the site plan or design standards, correct?
A. Yes. And the Long Hill ordinance goes one step further by specifying under Section 103.3 variances, and I'll read it, "Any deviation from any provision of Sections 120, 130, 142, 151, and 155 of the township land use ordinance shall require a variance pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40:55D-70 (c) or (d)," N.J.S.A.
Q. Okay. So you believe that that includes --
A. And 60A, excuse me. That's the Planning Board's power to grant "C" relief, 60A.
Q. Right. So you believe that Section 135 of the land use ordinance falls within that necessitating variance relief?
A. Yes.
Q. And what about Section 135, and that includes 135.1 and 135.2 in terms of uniformity and architectural design or appearance, do you

1 find that the application or applicant varies 2 from?

11 regulating development in the township seeks to
12 avoid suburban tract development. Uses those
A. I find the application conflicts with this provision in a big way, that 14 identical dwelling structures are proposed on the landscape where the ordinance clearly does not invite that result.

And I looked further at the goals of zoning in the township and was further convinced that it must be so because the vision for exact words, "suburban tract development," as something the township does not want to become known for.

So for that to be true and to be a guiding principal of the development regulations that flow from it, it's consistent with my finding that these 14 identical buildings fly in the face of that planning principle and would require a "C" variance to be allowed.
Q. And with regard to Section 135, you've also reviewed the testimony of Mr. Kaufman as a licensed architect with regard to the subject matter, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And as a professional planner, do you concur with the findings and conclusions that he made?
A. I do. And I think it is disingenuous to say that this is not the intent of the ordinance. I don't mean that in a negative sense to any individual who might feel that way, but I think it's very, very clear that repetitive building styles are undesirable and detrimental to the character of Long Hill Township.
Q. And so with that, let's maybe turn to Section 152, which I referred to during my earlier remarks, building design, and the guidelines that are incorporated in the land use ordinance regarding building design, whether it's the general guidelines, whether it's the building massing, scale, facade treatments, and the like; building materials, color, texture, that Mr. Kaufman related to or commented on, you know, during his testimony.

Do you have a professional planning opinion regarding those standards?
A. I do. I concur that this design guidance is not fully met because the prime

1 example is something we've heard quite a bit about
2 tonight, which is that staggering change in
3 elevation at the southwest corner of the site
4 where Building 6 would be perched very, very high
5 above the roadway, which is no more than 40 feet
6 away from Stone House Road. And I submit to the
7 Board that \(I\) can't imagine that would be the
8 intent of any of the governing body's legislation
9 to come out that way.

11 adhering to the natural features of the land as
12 required by Section 152.1.b. So there's that aspect of it.

Secondly, 152.1.b urges that new
buildings should strengthen the particular design features of its locale and complement existing building designs in all of the villages, including Millington Village.

As I see it, there really doesn't appear to be an attempt to use complementary materials or designs to track with local buildings and their materials here. In my site visit, it was clear that nearly all of the buildings along Division Avenue and in Millington Village were masonry exterior, brick and stucco largely, with earth

1 tones. Certainly sharply contrasting with the
2 proposed clapboarding of three-story full
3 structures, as Mr. Kaufman capably pointed out.
4 That's really the basis for concluding that 5 there's a mismatch.

So I think that could be made much
7 more compatible and would be a win, actually,
8 for the development itself because it's
9 proposed within a very elegant fabric right
10 now. It is in continuous transition to
11 something better and I think that a very large
12 development such as this that would pretty
much double the size of the village really
should set the pace for how properties are
finished and how they look for the long term.
And I think this section really hits the nail
on the head by encouraging that exact kind of
analysis and treatment for new structures.
Q. So in terms of building design, what kind of -- if the applicant was to have applied for relief from that section or sections of the ordinance, how would the applicant go about that? In other words, what kind of relief would the applicant require for particularly those building design sections?
A. That \(I\) see as a design waiver --
Q. And you heard --
A. -- under Section 51 of the Land Use Law.
Q. Right. So that would be under 51B --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- in terms of impracticality of compliance, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you believe -- or do you have an opinion whether the applicant, even if it had applied, given Mr. Kaufman's exhibits, including those he presented this evening, whether the applicant would even be able to meet the proofs of impracticality given what was presented by Mr. Kaufman?
A. I think a decision by the Board on that would be ripe after there was an attempt to do better with matching these elements of design that are very important. I take them to be very important to the township based on how they're expressed and articulated in the ordinance, and also all of the stuff that goes into the township's planning house that I looked at further convinced me that design matters and it's not just a token thing. It should be given serious

1 consideration.

3

22 there's a way to better that, if not eliminate
And I think the fit of a project
3 that follows the contours of the existing site
4 better and matches with the architectural
5 treatments of the surrounding buildings better is more worthy of any remaining design relief waiver than at the present.
Q. Is there additional relief that the applicant -- you believe that the applicant is required to seek that it has not to date?
A. It -- well, good question. The only remaining relief \(I\) was going to speak to were things that were recognized by the designer in a table of the front page on Sheet 1 of the site plan, dealing with excessive lighting, 1.9 footcandles where . 2 footcandles is the maximum nighttime level.

And the shortage of trees to be
planted, 119 trees plus 29 trees in the parking lot for a total of 148 trees. A total of 96 trees are proposed. I believe that it, because of the things that Mr. Kaufman presented in his design scenarios. There is room to, I think, do better on the tree count

1 on site. Mr. Kaufman's concepts, I think that the Board should seriously consider those design tweaks. I think the applicant should seriously consider the design tweaks. I think it will result in a more compatible project and very likely eliminate some of the variance relief that \(I\) have enumerated. But, also, this is a rare opportunity to do something that very few locations can do, which is to build upon a very valuable transit station right in the heart of the village and make a true transit-oriented development come to life. And I'm speaking now to an enhanced commercial building that is indeed truly mixed use. In its current configuration, it's simply a commercial building at the edge of a residential enclave. But a mixed-use building hugging the corner of Division Avenue and Commerce Street, right at the rail line, tracks so nicely with what's already there on the other three perspectives of that area.

I think that it behooves the Board to strive for -- and the applicant as well -- the most attractive designs for this large and

1 impactful project. Because doing so I think 2 ultimately leads to inspiring other property 3 owners to attain a new bar with the improvement 4 that they see on the ground from -- of a project 5 that can be very nice if some of these design 6 principles are embraced.

7 Q. Thank you, Mr. Pessolano. ordinance, do you have an opinion as to whether this Board is even in a position to consider a favorable vote on this application?
A. Having been the one who calls at many times on what jurisdiction is applicable, in my professional life, I think it's clear that this Board lacks jurisdiction to consider this application because of the "D" relief that's implicated in it.
Q. And with regard to the -- putting aside for the moment the jurisdiction and the "D" relief, in terms of the balance of the relief,

1 that if the applicant had even applied for, that
2 this Board would have the jurisdiction to
3 consider, do you have an opinion as to whether the
4 applicant has presented adequate proofs for that
5 relief at this time?
6 A. I'm not aware of any such proofs.

7

11 much.

1 regard to the design and whether -- you know,
2 I provided testimony last time as to what
3 provisions of the ordinance I thought perhaps
4 did apply. I sort of felt it was the design
5 standards probably did more than the
6 uniformity. You know, and obviously the
7 objectors and I disagree on that.

12 thinking is in relation to these issues that do that, was there anything you wanted to comment first?

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay. I'm unmuted. Just some housekeeping. And for the record, when Mr. Kaufman testified that -there was a back-and-forth between the Board engineer and Mr. Kaufman. I'd like to remind the Board that Mr. Kaufman was not qualified as an engineer. So any testimony that was given that would go toward site planning and engineering, I just want to remind the Board

1 that Mr. Kaufman was not qualified as an 2 engineer.
    With regard to this testimony, I
    would like the opportunity, as well as our
    Board planner, because, you know, this is just
        coming up during this hearing. We were not
        advised earlier that there would be this type
        of testimony or that there were these types of
        issues that were going to be raised by the
        objector and we would like an opportunity to
        study the ordinances further.
        CHAIRMAN HANDS: I'll ask the Board
        for initial comments based upon the architect
        design, Mr. Kaufman, and the planning comments
        presented.
            VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Yeah,
        Mr. Chairman. My overall initial impression
        is \(I\) would like to see it look like more of \(a\)
        village, a village look, and that is not what
        I see when I look at the developer's
        rendition.
            I do question a little bit about the
        two-story buildings and how close they are to
        Division Avenue, if there's any infraction on
        any setbacks. I was diligently trying to find

1 that information. I couldn't find it while I
2 was trying to listen to Mr. Pessolano speak.

4
5

7

11 building.

I do have concerns or issues with the uniformity. I remember the last time we spoke, we talked about what exactly is it that we would want considerations for. And that is without changing the footprint of the building, but moving gables, changing the textures of the building so that one building next to another doesn't look like the same

Changing the ridgeline would be something I'd like to see. Maybe that stepping down does offer that, but I did give this consideration, that -- this task from Mr. Fourniadis from our last meeting, and I thought about this heavily. And that's what I -- those are the -- those are the concerns that I have. I'd like to roll down Division Avenue and see that to my left, as I'm heading towards Long Hill Road, that that blends; there's harmony with that whole area.
And that's my -- that's my opinion.

And I would also like to have an opportunity to take a look at the "D" variance issues.

MR. LANZAFAMA: Mr. Chairman, I would just like to remind the Board that the underlying zone for this property is the LI-2, which is one of the -- one of the districts, the zoning sections, that were exempted from 103.5. So I just want to point that out. That I don't agree with Mr. Pessolano's analysis that multiple buildings are a "D" variance and not permitted on this property. And as to the height, our ordinance simply states that the height of a building is the vertical distance from the lowest ground elevation around the foundation to the level of the highest elevation of the point of the roof surface. There's nothing in our ordinance that says it's measured from the existing topography. If it did, I would agree with Mr. Pessolano, but it doesn't say that, unfortunately.

So I think as far as how the height is measured, I think the applicant's engineer did it appropriately. And as far as the number of buildings, I disagree with the -with the objector's planner that multiple buildings are not permitted.

Those are the only two issues I wanted to raise.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you. Those are important -- important comments. I appreciate that.

Anybody else from the Board?
BOARD MEMBER SANDOW: I'd like to add a technical note. There was a question about front yards, front setbacks, and whatever. I'd just like to remind everybody that the street on the north edge of the property, which is labeled on all the plans as Commerce Street, is not a street. It is not a street. It is not a public right-of-way. It is not a private street.

If you look closely at the tax maps, you will find that it simply doesn't exist. By default, Commerce Street is a private driveway across property owned by New Jersey Transit, but as a driveway, it does not qualify as a street. And so all the issues of setback and facing streets and so on do not apply on the north edge of the property.

The only purpose for this private driveway owned by the Transit is to provide

1 access to the municipal parking lot at the 2 train station, but it has never been converted 3 to a street and, therefore, it does not have 4 any of the street restrictions on the design 5 of this lot. 8 today. comments?

MAYOR RAE: I mean, the one comment I have is why, at the tenth meeting, are these issues being brought up of Board jurisdiction?

MS. MAZIARZ: Right.
MAYOR RAE: And it seems we're kind of flummoxed on it as well. Why? Why are we -- why has this come up in the -- in the tenth meeting?

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: I'm sorry, Mr. Mayor, which are you directly addressing? Are you addressing my comments or -MAYOR RAE: No, I'm saying the Board jurisdiction. The question of whether the Board has jurisdiction over it and nobody seems to know if -- nobody seems to know the

1 answer.

MS. MAZIARZ: Well, the answer is that this application is before the Board. The Board did take jurisdiction over this application. The zone that we're talking about is an overlay. There are -- there are provisions in the underlying zone that discuss accessory uses, at least in my quick look this evening, that say that accessory uses that are customary to the principal permitted use are permitted.

You know, we would like the opportunity with Liz to take a look at this so we can advise the Board moving forward. The objectors are allowed to put whatever they want on the record. They're objecting. So they have an expert that is disagreeing with all of the other experts that have appeared so far before this Board.

What was understood when this application was submitted, both by the Board experts that were the Board experts at the time, which aren't the same ones, and also the applicant's experts is that this was a conforming application coming before the

1 Planning Board.

2
3

4

The Planning Board took
jurisdiction. The Planning Board is well within its right to determine at this point whether or not it has jurisdiction. And the Planning Board up until this time retained jurisdiction, maintains jurisdiction. And I agree with you, Mayor, I don't understand, if this was an issue, why this wasn't brought up before the tenth hearing.

MR. SIMON: I --
MS. MAZIARZ: This application was submitted a long time ago, long before these hearings ever began. That's the only point. MAYOR RAE: Yeah.

MR. SIMON: Just in terms of from my perspective, just two quick comments. Obviously I'm new to this application, so this is my first appearance here and so obviously I was not, you know, certainly in a position to advise the Board.

And part of, you know, that is, you know, to having an opportunity to review -and I completely agree with Jolanta and Liz that they should be provided with an

1 opportunity take a look at the applicable 2 ordinance provisions.

Just one thing, though, when they take a look. And it's been commented both by a number of people, including Mike Lanzafama. That when you -- when the Board professionals now take a look at the ordinance and the point was really raised nicely that this was formerly the LI-2 zone and that the MU-O zone was created as an overlay.

Let me be clear about one thing. When you create an overlay zone in zoning, you cannot pick and choose. If you decide to develop the property, my opinion on behalf of my clients, if you decide -- if you decide to develop the property based on the LI-2 requirements, then that's how you're developing the property. If you decide instead not to develop the property for industrial use but rather to develop the property pursuant to the \(M U-O\) zone, those are the provisions that apply. You cannot pick and choose a little from each ordinance pertaining to what's in there. You have to -you make the decision of how you want to

1 develop the property and then you apply the 2 ordinance accordingly.

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay. Well, except that the permitted use section in the MU-O zone clearly states that this is in addition. It says "in addition to those uses already permitted by the underlying zone district." So, you know, we can sit here and argue about this all night long and for another month, but the bottom line is the Board does have jurisdiction at this time. The objector can object and then the Board will have to evaluate at the conclusion of this hearing how the Board is going to rule on this application.

So we're not going to argue about this anymore at this point. And I will -MR. SIMON: I don't want to argue. MS. MAZIARZ: Good. Very good. So let's send it back to the Board for any comments or questions from the Board.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: For me, right now, just cutting to the chase a little bit. With regard to the Board, we have an application. We're going to have to -- you know, we'd be in

1 the same situation if it went to the Zoning 2 Board, right?

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, I just want to remind you -CHAIRMAN HANDS: Yes, 10:15. Thank you.

So I think it's irrespective of which Board, the situation is the application. It now comes down to a -- we discussed environmental. We've gone through that ad nauseum.

Now this is simply the look and feel of the application. And then there's a suggestion, a detailed suggestion. Obviously we asked initially for some comments about the facades and, you know, outside architectural design. So we certainly heard from Mr. Kaufman some suggestions, which was appreciated. Obviously the next thing -- you know, whether we can debate that and discuss that, and I think that one conversation is the easiest of all the conversations.

The next thing is, how far do you go to the design of the site plan itself? You know, the retail building we know, we've had

1 discussion, and there's potential
2 opportunities for discussions on that
3 building. So that's maybe a second
4 conversation at some point. And then you end 5 up with the more substantial conversation

6 about the actual layout, if you will, and how
7 far that is within the purview of discussion
8 and the Board's purview.

12 Board, we have also commitments that we need

15 think we would all say, potentially say that
16 the ordinance could be a little stronger. But
17 I think some of the intention on the grading
18 was correct. Their intention was to allow for
19 heights and the slopes to take effect to allow

22 decisions to be made and discussion about how for larger buildings in the back.

So I have to come down to a few far we can, if not alter, but potentially amend a bit the actual site plan. Not the uses. Not the density. Not the set -- you

1 know, the principles, but just some very
2 specific points and see whether we end up in a
3 position that we can feel comfortable
4 collectively that we're doing right by all 5 parties.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Go ahead.
BOARD MEMBER PFEIL: When the Planning Board was working to create this overlay, we clearly permitted retail, three-story buildings, and established an FAR which this application has met, period.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you, Alan.
Yeah, John, please.
BOARD MEMBER FALVEY: I think it's
rather simple. The objector says it doesn't -- you have to be before the Zoning Board. The developer says they're proper --

1 they're in front of the proper Board. Our 2 experts will give us their opinion and then 3 we'll vote on it.

11 up, and you make a decision based on
12 everything submitted.
You know, unfortunately, sometimes ordinances and laws are written by people and sometimes they screw up when they write them.

I don't know if that's the case here, but to
8 say something's clear, I don't know. It seems
9 like there's some evidence that it may not be clear. But \(I\) say just continue, finish this

MAYOR RAE: You know, I agree with John because, you know, to take this in the direction that it's going, we're looking at another ten meetings at least it seems to me.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: And that's my
point. I don't want to drag this out to be honest.

MAYOR RAE: Right. So we've got the objectors here saying we're in front of the wrong Board. And then we've got this whole other issue of design. And it seems to me that the original -- the original rendition pretty much feted the ordinance. It was -- it

1 was -- it was exemplary. We may not like how
2 it looked, but it was -- it was -- it -- it 3 feted it.

5 come back with some other designs. I'll take
6 a look at them. I guess we did that tonight.
7 It's up to Mr. Fourniadis to say yes, no, I
8 like some, I like others. But as far as I'm
9 concerned, that's it. That's Mr. Fourniadis's
10 decision. And if he decides not to, then
11 we're going ahead with the original design.
12 And, you know, we'll hear from our -- we'll
13 hear from our own professionals as to the on that.

BOARD MEMBER VERLEZZA: And I'm in agreement, also.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you. My only comment to that, the ten committees and stuff. I think a lot of thought has gone into these plans. I think they present enough of an option in whether the facades, the retail building and -- I think there's enough elements there for us not to have to do that and debate beyond what we're seeing as to what actual attributes we may consider.

So no intention on my part, at least my personal thought, to extend or get any other input to that. I think that's something we've got now enough elements to work with. And at this point, I think it's appropriate to say this seems like a good point to wrap up the meeting. I hate to belabor the meetings, but it sounds like there is some work that our professionals need to do and come back and just give us direct comments on the objectors', the planner's comments, and take it forward at the next meeting and see if we can get to some resolution at the next meeting.

MR. SIMON: Mr. Chairman, could I interrupt for just one second? CHAIRMAN HANDS: Yes.

MR. SIMON: I'm just going to ask, as a courtesy to Mr. Pessolano, I believe that he hadn't completed at least opening it up to the public to see if anyone had any questions for Mr. Pessolano. Because if there are none and his testimony is closed, then he wouldn't necessarily have to come back at a next meeting.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Oh, I see. Okay. Appreciate that.

MR. SIMON: You know, that's up to the clients, but I know working with professionals every night, that that's always a consideration.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Okay. That's a fair comment, actually.

Let me just ask if anybody wants to raise their hand who is not being represented by Mr. Simon, wish to make a comment. I'd like to try and keep those comments very brief, if possible. If anybody wants to raise their hand or hold their comments to the next

1 meeting and not necessarily have to address 2 any comments to the planner.

MR. REGAN: Well, Mr. Chairman, this is Frank Regan. I would request that Mr. Pessolano come back at the next meeting because obviously his testimony has raised a number of issues with request to jurisdiction, which obviously the Board planner needs to look into and the applicant will also want to address that issue and probably will have further questions for Mr. Pessolano. CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you. Thank you for that. Actually, I think that's a fair point.

Are you okay with that? And I appreciate your comment, I appreciate Frank's comment, but I have to agree with Frank.

MR. SIMON: No, Frank absolutely has an opportunity to ask questions. That's no doubt.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Okay. Thank you.
MAYOR RAE: So how do you see this progressing, then? Next week we're going to come back. We're going to hear from our professionals, right, on the objectors -- on

1 the merits of the objector's discuss --
2 comments tonight.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Right.
MAYOR RAE: Then where are we going after that? Where do you see us?

CHAIRMAN HANDS: I'd certainly like to see Prism, the applicant's, comments if they have any. Of course, any finding -maybe it could be superficial, I'll call it superficial. I don't think there's any need to go beyond the next meeting. So I think we've got all the knowledge now, the intelligence, the information has been gathered. I don't see any reason why we can't come to some conclusion -- personally, personal opinion -- come to some conclusion at the next meeting.

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Mr. Chairman, I would concur with that. We should be in a position to make a decision at our next meeting.

BOARD MEMBER VERLEZZA: I'm in
agreement, also. This is like the never-ending application. Ten meetings in, I think we've gathered a tremendous amount of

1 information and opinions. These meetings, I
2 know this is a big project, it's an important
3 project, there's a lot of different aspects to
4 it, but each meeting is not inexpensive to the 5 applicant nor the taxpayer here. And I think 6 at some point, we need to have the goal to 7 wrap this up. If it's possible within the 8 boundaries of the law and our jurisdiction on 9 the Planning Board to make a decision at the 10 next meeting, I think we should gear up to do 11 so.

12 CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you for that. BOARD MEMBER PFEIL: I agree.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Mr. Pfeil agrees with that.

Okay. Jolanta or Deb, can I hand it back to you to -- and bear in mind we still got -- public is going to ask questions of the testimony we heard as well.

Is there anything about carrying the meeting to next time?

COORDINATOR COONCE: I think that --
MS. MAZIARZ: I --
COORDINATOR COONCE: Go ahead,
Jolanta. I'm sorry.

MS. MAZIARZ: I'm sorry. Is that question for the applicant? I understand that the applicant's attorney may have an issue with our next regularly scheduled meeting. MR. REGAN: We'll agree to a continuance till the 24 th. I think we can proceed.

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: Very kind. Thank you, Frank. Appreciate that.

COORDINATOR COONCE: So, Mr. Regan, you will send me a letter with the extension through the end of the month?

MR. REGAN: Yes.
THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you, Mr. Fourniadis.

MR. FOURNIADIS: I'm sorry, I
thought I was muted.
THE REPORTER: You're not.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: We heard what he said.

THE REPORTER: I didn't. CHAIRMAN HANDS: Let me just finish this off by saying I thank everybody's patience through the whole process and I

1 appreciate the effort that people are putting
2 in and the interest of everybody in this.
3 Because it is a major project for the town,
4 set to see for many years to come. It's
5 prudent that we do a good and thorough job.
6 So I appreciate everybody's time and patience.
7 BOARD MEMBER SANDOW: Do we not

8 already have a different hearing scheduled for
9 the next hearing?

Alan. Oh, I'm sorry, I thought you were going to say something.


1 the meeting tonight.

11 application was adjourned at 10:29 p.m. to
12 Tuesday, November 24, 2020, 7:30 p.m.)
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\hline D-4 74:10 & demising 32:24 & destination & dip 57:9 & dividing 84:3 \\
\hline D-6 77:1 78:1,15 & 33:2,7 35:12 & 78:25 & direct 109:21 & Division 1:6 \\
\hline 78:16 80:17,25 & demonstrate & detail 26:23 & DIRECT-EX... & 3:19 17:1,14 \\
\hline 81:23 82:20 & 24:4 25:2 & detailed 104:14 & 18:21 65:21 & 30:15 36:18 \\
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\hline 6:11,25 28:16 & 47:19,20,21 & 82:20 & 107:15 & 51:652:1 59:5 \\
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\hline 116:9 118:9 & density 42:21 & determines 84:2 & disagree 14:6 & 78:18 79:9 \\
\hline dated 30:6,9,12 & 47:14 61:19 & detrimental & 55:20 56:22,23 & 87:23 91:19 \\
\hline 30:16,18,20 & 105:25 & 86:10 & 57:7,24 58:8 & 95:24 96:19 \\
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\hline day 105:11 & depending 46:6 & 102:20 103:1 & 100:17 & documents 19:6 \\
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\hline 90:15 & 53:8 & 39:14 41:24 & discuss 23:17 & 20:24 27:8 \\
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\hline 98:19,20,25 & 52:15,21 81:12 & environmental & excellent 20:3 & expert 3:6 6:17 \\
\hline driveways 53:19 & 87:3 97:13,14 & 104:10 & exception 24:9 & 65:3 67:21 \\
\hline driving 48:8 & Elevations 29:4 & environments & exceptions 24:15 & 100:17 \\
\hline drop 52:24 53:2 & eliminate 52:11 & 35:21 & 71:4,8,9 & expert's 9:25 \\
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\hline 65:10 & eliminating & 32:8 33:1,6,22 & excuse 31:12 & 107:2 \\
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\hline 55:18 56:3,15 & 86:17 & harmoniously & helpful 94:9 & 85:4,19 \\
\hline 56:18 58:3,5 & guiding 85:17 & 31:10,17 33:13 & hereinbefore & identified 76:10 \\
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\hline 103:19,19 & 113:16 & 11:3 12:21 & hold 75:12 & 49:17 \\
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\hline 74:19 87:17 & 38:9,25 86:6 & J & 27:18,24 28:5 & 104:16,20,25 \\
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\hline 86:15 & 39:21 & 77:13 78:18 & 64:21 78:5 & 113:2 115:13 \\
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\hline 17:9 19:1,3 & 22:5 & 11:25 95:16 & kind 21:7 36:2 & 71:17,20,21 \\
\hline 81:3 96:1 & interpreted & 99:19 104:3 & 37:25 38:14 & 73:12 74:12 \\
\hline 112:13 113:1 & 78:12 & 112:18 116:13 & 39:17,24 49:14 & 76:18 83:2,13 \\
\hline informed 17:10 & interrupt 28:11 & 116:15 & 53:10 88:17,20 & 84:12,20 86:15 \\
\hline infraction 95:24 & 70:18 78:21 & jurisdiction & 88:23 99:15 & 87:11 89:3 \\
\hline infrastructure & 83:21 110:2 & 92:18,20,24 & 108:17 114:9 & 92:12 \\
\hline 50:2 & 116:5 & 93:2 99:13,23 & know 4:13 7:9 & landscape 85:5 \\
\hline initial 95:13,17 & introduce 15:1 & 99:24 100:4 & 7:21 8:9 11:8 & landscaping \\
\hline initially 104:15 & 25:11 55:5,8 & 101:3,5,7,7 & 11:10 19:9,19 & 51:15 \\
\hline input 109:14 & 55:20 & 103:11 111:7 & 21:16 23:2,10 & language 70:2 \\
\hline inside 35:15,18 & introduction & 113:8 & 23:14,18 25:4 & 70:12 72:14 \\
\hline insofar 48:21 & 8:16 & K & 25:8,24 26:2 & 73:20 76:4 \\
\hline inspecting 68:7 & invite 85:6 & \(\frac{\text { K }}{\text { K 18:16 }}\) & 26:13,16,20 & 79:6 83:17,18 \\
\hline inspiring 92:2 & irrespective & K 18:16
Kaufman 3:4,14 & 28:7 38:15 & Lanzafama 2:5 \\
\hline Institute 66:24 & 104:7 & Kaufman 3:4,14 & 40:10,16 41:20 & 48:19 51:21,25 \\
\hline institutional & issue 14:15 27:4 & 5:5,7,7,12,17
\(6 \cdot 137 \cdot 9,14,18\) & 41:24 43:10,10 & 52:4,6 53:13 \\
\hline 35:4 & 101:9 107:23 & 6:13 7:9,14,18 & 44:20 47:4 & 53:16 54:7 \\
\hline instruction & 111:10 114:3 & 9:16,25 10:3,6 & 48:17,18 49:1 & 57:24 97:1 \\
\hline 28:13 & issues 54:13 & 10:14 11:6,15 & 49:7 50:8 & 102:5 \\
\hline insurmountable & 55:25 58:4 & 11:18,23,24 & 52:25 54:16 & Lanzafama's \\
\hline 56:1 & 78:5 93:17,24 & 12:1,2,4 13:12 & 61:22 63:11 & 58:19 \\
\hline intelligence & 93:25 94:12 & \[
14: 3,16,21
\] & 74:8 82:19 & large 41:6,6 56:5 \\
\hline 112:13 & 95:9 96:3,25 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 15: 816: 10 \\
& 17: 16,24 \quad 18: 4
\end{aligned}
\] & 86:20 93:16,23 & 57:15 88:11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 91:25 & lieu 59:23 & LOMBARDO... & 51:1 52:7 57:8 & maximum 77:3 \\
\hline largely 41:2 & life 91:13 92:19 & 118:3,17 & 62:20 & 90:16 \\
\hline 48:23 87:25 & lighting 90:15 & long 1:1 13:12 & lowered 64:18 & Mayor 1:14 \\
\hline larger 37:12 & limit 5:3 51:14 & 13:14 16:23 & 77:16 & 10:23 20:10,23 \\
\hline 105:20 & 74:5 76:2,5 & 31:15 84:8 & lowest 97:12 & 99:11,15,20,22 \\
\hline law 13:11 24:10 & 77:3,15 80:8 & 86:11 88:15 & LU 39:25 & 101:8,15 \\
\hline 42:5 71:18,20 & 81:9 & 96:21 101:13 & & 107:13,20 \\
\hline 71:21 73:12 & limited 74:5,23 & 101:13 103:9 & M & 108:18 111:22 \\
\hline 76:18 83:2 & limits 69:18,19 & long-winded & M 18:16,16 65:9 & 112:4 117:3 \\
\hline 89:3 92:12 & 79:6 & 106:6 & ma'am 31:14 & MAZIARZ 1:19 \\
\hline 113:8 & line 60:12 61:8 & longer 37:22 & maintains 101:7 & 4:24 5:14 6:22 \\
\hline lawn 55:2,7 & 76:8 84:3 & look 14:1 16:13 & major 1:7 4:2 & 8:3 9:1,8 10:7 \\
\hline laws 107:5 & 91:20 103:10 & 20:14,15 23:14 & 115:3 & 10:11,22 11:21 \\
\hline lay 106:7 & line-of-sight & 37:15 38:17 & majority 56:22 & 12:13,18,21 \\
\hline layer 46:23 & 79:4 & 42:3 43:10 & making 14:23 & 13:19 17:21 \\
\hline layout 33:3 35:4 & lines 61:9 & 46:15 54:18,22 & 31:4 34:23 & 18:6,11,20 \\
\hline 105:6 & list 70:15 & 56:7 57:6 & 38:19 43:2 & 65:12,18 94:17 \\
\hline lead 69:2 & listed 71:9 72:22 & 80:14 81:4,11 & MALINOUS... & 99:14 100:2 \\
\hline leads 92:2 & listen 96:2 & 88:15 93:18 & 1:15 10:20 & 101:12 103:3 \\
\hline leave 116:24 & listening 13:6,21 & 95:18,19,20 & 45:13,20 46:13 & 103:19 113:23 \\
\hline leaves 75:18 & lists 74:18 & 96:10,25 98:16 & 47:7,16 108:17 & 114:1,8 116:9 \\
\hline leaving 34:24 & literal 24:19 & 100:8,13 102:1 & man 57:1 & mean 25:23 \\
\hline left 4:20 36:23 & literally 33:1 & 102:4,7 104:12 & manipulated & 41:11 86:7 \\
\hline 41:18 46:2 & little 21:16 28:6 & 105:13 108:6 & 49:22 & 99:11 \\
\hline 48:11 96:20 & 30:4 35:3 36:6 & 111:9 & manner 22:9 & meaningful \\
\hline left-hand 42:16 & 39:8,10,20 & looked 14:7 & maps 98:16 & 39:15 41:6 \\
\hline legal 23:21 25:8 & 40:12 45:9 & 19:12 68:8 & mark 43:18 66:7 & means 39:2 \\
\hline legislation 87:8 & 53:10 57:2,2,5 & 81:19 85:8 & marked 28:15 & meant 16:24 \\
\hline LEHENY 2:3 & 95:22 102:23 & 89:23 108:2 & 44:11 & 23:6,9 38:21 \\
\hline 58:17 59:3,8 & 103:23 105:16 & looking 6:19 & market 47:15 & 71:1 \\
\hline 59:14 60:4,9 & living 35:16 & 26:13 39:20 & masonry 34:2 & measure 80:13 \\
\hline 60:15,20 61:20 & 41:19 & 45:25 46:17 & 34:21 87:24 & measured 74:23 \\
\hline 62:15 63:1 & Livingston & 50:25 107:15 & massing 86:18 & 80:12 97:16,21 \\
\hline 93:15 & 66:22 & looks 16:12 & massive 81:16 & measurement \\
\hline let's 4:9 18:3 & Liz 58:15 93:12 & lose 61:16 & master 35:16 & 78:25 79:2 \\
\hline 27:3 43:16 & 100:13 101:24 & loss 61:19 & 41:7 66:17 & measures 28:21 \\
\hline 46:11 86:12 & LLC 1:6 & lost 61:18 & 68:13 & 40:10 \\
\hline 93:12 103:20 & LLP 1:21 & lot 23:4 32:4 & matches 90:4 & meet 32:20 38:9 \\
\hline letter 114:12 & local 87:21 & 35:1,20 41:6 & matching 89:18 & 89:13 \\
\hline level 48:7 50:7 & locale 87:16 & 41:18 48:9 & materials 34:4 & meeting 4:9,21 \\
\hline 54:4,5 81:7 & located 42:24 & 61:9 67:6 & 34:13 36:1,2 & 6:9 7:17,25 8:6 \\
\hline 90:17 97:13 & 46:22 & 69:20 70:23 & 36:15 37:7 & 15:22,24,25 \\
\hline LI-2 97:3 102:9 & location 39:17 & 75:2,7,9,11,14 & 40:7 86:19 & 16:6,18,18 \\
\hline 102:16 & 52:8 54:17 & 90:20 99:1,5 & 87:20,22 & 20:13 21:2,18 \\
\hline license 53:10 & 55:4 59:13 & 109:5 113:3 & math 75:10,21 & 21:21,21,25 \\
\hline 66:23 118:18 & 62:14 82:1 & lots 1:7 54:4 & matter 1:4 85:25 & 96:16 99:12,18 \\
\hline licensed 65:16 & locations 35:17 & love 58:10 & matters 48:22 & 109:18,23,25 \\
\hline 66:5,8,8 67:22 & 57:1 91:10 & lower 35:7 36:13 & 89:24 116:2,3 & 110:11 111:1,5 \\
\hline 85:24 & logistically \(27: 8\) & 37:3 42:23 & MATTHEW 2:8 & 112:11,17,21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 113:4,10,21 & 33:23 34:5 & 70:4 71:7 & necessitating & 37:7 59:20 \\
\hline 114:4 115:14 & 39:3 41:21 & 72:15,22 73:8 & 84:21 & 61:8,8 98:11 \\
\hline 115:16 116:11 & 78:4 87:18,24 & 74:14,19,20 & necessity 82:11 & 98:23 \\
\hline 117:1 & mind 51:23 & 76:9 79:7 80:3 & need 5:20 13:1 & northern 42:18 \\
\hline meetings 15:23 & 74:20 93:20 & 80:5 102:9,21 & 25:24 30:25 & 59:24 60:11 \\
\hline 41:18 107:16 & 113:17 & 103:4 & 33:4 35:18 & 66:20 \\
\hline 109:19 112:24 & mine 33:24 & multiple 43:20 & 43:11 46:8 & Notary 118:3 \\
\hline 113:1 & minimum 42:4 & 97:8,24 & 72:6 73:4 74:6 & note 98:8 \\
\hline member 9:23 & minor 53:4 & municipal 24:9 & 105:12 109:20 & noted 17:25 51:2 \\
\hline 10:20,24 25:17 & minutes 4:7,18 & 24:11 66:11 & 112:10 113:6 & notice 50:24 \\
\hline 26:6,16 45:13 & 26:4 & 68:10,11 71:17 & 116:5 & 51:10 53:17 \\
\hline 45:20 46:13 & mislead 54:11 & 71:20,21 73:12 & needed 74:11 & 116:21 \\
\hline 47:7,16,19,22 & misled 57:25 & 76:17 83:2 & 78:7 & noticed 50:14 \\
\hline 50:12 51:10,18 & mismatch 88:5 & 92:12 99:1 & needing 70:10 & 69:13 115:11 \\
\hline 63:13,19 64:4 & misunderstan... & municipalities & 77:6 & 115:12,17,20 \\
\hline 66:24 98:7 & 20:12 21:9 & 66:20 & needs 9:13 41:24 & November 1:9 \\
\hline 106:12,15,22 & mix 35:25 & municipality & 61:12 77:16 & 29:14 30:6,9 \\
\hline 108:17 109:1 & mixed 38:10,12 & 84:2 & 81:19 111:8 & 30:12,16,18,20 \\
\hline 112:22 113:13 & 91:15 & municipality's & 116:7 & 116:21 117:12 \\
\hline 115:7,15,22 & mixed-use 38:11 & 83:2 & negative 86:7 & number 3:14 \\
\hline 116:12 117:2 & 43:3 58:10 & muted 114:18 & neighborhood & 31:9 36:16,23 \\
\hline members 1:12 & 60:14 62:14,25 & & 30:6 68:4,8 & 36:25 37:5 \\
\hline 5:23 6:2 8:4,6 & 91:18 & N & neither 118:10 & 41:14 42:7,11 \\
\hline 8:14,17,19 & model 32:9 44:2 & N 1:18 2:1 18:16 & 118:13 & 45:24 46:3,4,5 \\
\hline 9:19 22:1 & 50:19 & 65:10 & never 99:2 & 46:18,21,25 \\
\hline 38:15 39:19 & moment 26:12 & N.J.S 82:25 & never-ending & 47:10,12 51:14 \\
\hline 40:15 44:4,5,5 & 27:2 92:24 & N.J.S.A 84:13 & 112:24 & 55:24 56:15 \\
\hline 63:4 & month 103:10 & 84:14 & new 31:9,16 & 59:7 60:18 \\
\hline mention 70:2 & 114:13 & nail 88:16 & 33:12 41:8 & 61:7,11 69:19 \\
\hline 71:172:16 & month's 7:17 & name 12:6 13:5 & 65:17 66:18,20 & 74:18 97:23 \\
\hline 92:12 & motion 5:2,2,3 & 23:12 29:1 & 67:13 77:13 & 102:5 111:7 \\
\hline mentioned & 5:21 8:5,10 & 38:11 65:12,13 & 78:18 79:7 & numbers 45:18 \\
\hline 45:15 46:16 & 10:23,25 11:5 & natural 31:10,17 & 87:14 88:18 & \\
\hline 79:5,24 & 13:23 14:14,14 & 31:23 33:13 & 92:3 98:19 & 0 \\
\hline mentions 79:7,9 & 116:6,8,11,12 & 53:24 57:12,18 & 101:18 118:5 & O 2:1 65:10,10 \\
\hline 79:20 & 116:15,20,25 & 87:11 & nice 66:3 92:5 & O'Brien 78:7 \\
\hline merits 108:14 & mounting 19:21 & nature 34:15 & nicely 91:20 & O-G-E-N-S \\
\hline 112:1 & move 19:9 20:18 & 37:19 & 102:8 & 13:17 \\
\hline met 86:25 & 27:16,16 36:18 & nauseum 104:11 & night 103:9 & oath 18:13,15,18 \\
\hline 106:19 & 43:5 44:20 & near 54:5 & 110:16 117:9 & object 7:18 14:6 \\
\hline Michael 2:5 3:7 & 62:22 71:17 & nearest 79:1 & nightly 66:14 & 19:20 103:12 \\
\hline 65:14 & 83:9 & nearly 53:25 & nighttime 90:17 & objected 22:21 \\
\hline middle 21:19 & moved 59:20,20 & 75:2 87:23 & nine 5:24 63:20 & objecting 5:17 \\
\hline midst 21:19 & 62:13,24 117:2 & necessarily & NJS 80:20 & 100:16 \\
\hline Mike 12:6 83:20 & movie 50:18 & 16:24 52:13 & nonconformity & objection 16:16 \\
\hline 102:5 & moving 6:20 & 110:10 111:1 & 22:22 & 20:2,4,7 \\
\hline Millington 1:6 & 21:5 33:11 & necessary 13:3 & nonresidential & objectionable \\
\hline 13:13,14,16,18 & 96:8 100:14 & necessitates & 61:23 & 40:14 \\
\hline 16:13,14,15 & MU-O 38:11 & 73:16 & north 3:20 30:17 & objections 17:25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 19:22 22:3 & 72:4,10 73:3 & 37:2 38:11,12 & P & PAUL 2:7 \\
\hline 23:23 108:23 & 80:15 84:2,15 & 51:16 60:3 & P 1:18,18 2:1 & peculiar 24:21 \\
\hline objector 5:25 & 94:17 103:3 & 62:9 68:12 & 65:10 & 25:5 \\
\hline 6:13 7:19 & 110:12,18 & 69:7,19 70:2 & P-E-S-S-O-L-... & people 4:15 \\
\hline 25:25 95:10 & 111:15,21 & 70:12,20 71:1 & 65:15 & 13:12 41:19 \\
\hline 103:12 106:23 & 113:16 114:8 & 71:14 72:8,15 & p.m 1:10 117:11 & 102:5 107:5 \\
\hline objector's 97:24 & 115:23 116:23 & 72:17,19 73:1 & 117:12 & 115:1 \\
\hline 108:14 112:1 & omissions 92:9 & 73:20 74:15 & pace \(88: 14\) & perceive 22:5 \\
\hline objectors 6:17 & one's 29:7 & 75:18 76:3,13 & page 3:2,14 & percent 75:8,20 \\
\hline 7:5 94:7,11 & ones 36:25 & 78:12 79:6 & 90:14 & 77:2 80:8,11 \\
\hline 100:15 107:21 & 100:23 & 80:3,6,17,19 & pains 68:6 & 80:13,14 \\
\hline 111:25 & open 5:19,21 & 83:14,19 84:5 & pairs 54:19 & 115:20 \\
\hline objectors' & 23:11 41:6,9 & 84:8,12,20 & Pamela 13:17 & perched 87:4 \\
\hline 109:22 & 44:24 45:12 & 85:6 86:6,15 & panel 13:8 47:18 & perfect 48:19 \\
\hline objects 11:13 & 56:6 63:12 & 88:22 89:21 & 55:2,7 & perfectly 9:11 \\
\hline obtain 69:15 & 108:15 & 92:11,14 93:19 & panelist 11:7 & period 7:22 \\
\hline obtained 70:11 & opening 13:24 & 94:3 97:10,16 & 12:8 27:12 & 106:19 \\
\hline obviously 26:12 & 16:7 110:6 & 102:2,7,23 & parallel 46:22 & permit 8:10 \\
\hline 37:10 45:9 & opinion 8:14 & 103:2 105:16 & 59:13 & permittable \\
\hline 53:1 60:6 94:6 & 9:20 86:23 & 107:25 & parcels 75:23 & 62:4 \\
\hline 101:18,19 & 89:10 92:14 & ordinances 42:7 & parking 41:14 & permitted 8:8 \\
\hline 104:14,19 & 93:3,20 96:23 & 83:23 95:11 & 48:15 49:17 & 14:21 69:13,20 \\
\hline 111:6,8 & 102:14 107:2 & 107:5 & 54:4 90:20 & 70:12,14,15,16 \\
\hline occasion 68:15 & 112:16 & organization & 99:1 & 70:23 71:25 \\
\hline occasions 67:17 & opinions 68:21 & 74:3 & part 21:17,17 & 72:2,13,20,24 \\
\hline occupancy 74:4 & 113:1 & organized 36:5 & 25:12 31:15 & 73:7,15,18,20 \\
\hline 76:24 77:20,21 & opportunities & orientations & 32:6 36:20 & 77:21 78:9 \\
\hline 77:21 & 105:2 & 58:9 & 38:5 43:7 & 79:17,21 81:9 \\
\hline occupation 66:1 & opportunity & original 36:22 & 46:18 59:24 & 97:9,25 100:10 \\
\hline occupied 62:7 & 7:19 8:20 & 52:15 54:23 & 66:13 74:6 & 100:11 103:4,7 \\
\hline occupy 62:4 & 22:17 23:16 & 107:24,24 & 92:9 101:22 & 106:17 \\
\hline offer 96:14 & 49:6 67:6 91:9 & 108:11 & 109:12 & perpendicular \\
\hline offered 28:19 & 95:4,10 96:24 & originally 36:3 & participate & 37:19 46:14 \\
\hline Ogens 13:17 & 100:13 101:23 & 66:6,7 & 75:17 & 56:9 \\
\hline oh 12:6 64:17 & 102:1 111:19 & outcome 10:18 & particular 19:6 & person 81:5 \\
\hline 110:12 115:24 & opposed 11:4 & 26:24 & 39:6 41:3 & 82:13 \\
\hline okay 4:1,19 5:14 & 37:21 50:2 & outside 104:16 & 59:22 62:1 & person's 12:6 \\
\hline 7:10 9:18 & 116:19 & overage 75:11 & 73:15 81:13 & personal 109:13 \\
\hline 10:22 11:5 & opposite 53:17 & overall 47:12 & 82:1 87:15 & 112:16 \\
\hline 12:7,14 17:21 & option 32:19 & 95:17 & particularly & personally \\
\hline 26:10 28:19 & 109:7 & overlay 38:12 & 57:15 67:8 & 112:15 \\
\hline 29:9,18,25 & options 22:19 & 70:4 100:6 & 88:24 & perspective \\
\hline 30:25 43:13 & order 5:21 19:6 & 102:10,12 & parties 106:5 & 23:21 25:8 \\
\hline 44:16 47:7 & 30:4 32:2 & 106:17 & 118:12 & 37:4 39:1 \\
\hline 53:16 59:4,8 & 34:17 & overparked & party 26 : & 67:10 101:17 \\
\hline 60:4 61:20 & ordinance 22:5 & 41:13 & passed 14:14 & perspectives \\
\hline 62:15 63:1,3,9 & 22:20,23 24:12 & oversight 70:6 & pasted 32:8 & 66:16 91:21 \\
\hline 64:17,18 68:25 & 24:16 28:22 & owned 98:19,25 & patience 114:25 & pertained 31:22 \\
\hline 70:25 71:7,24 & 31:8,16,21,25 & owners 92:3 & \[
115: 6 \text { 116:2 }
\] & pertaining 24:22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 102:24 & planner 2:3 5:4 & 17:22 20:24 & precincts 49:21 & primarily 50:23 \\
\hline pertains 72:13 & 5:9,10 6:12 & 31:7 36:16 & precisely 12:23 & prime 86:25 \\
\hline 83:14 & 10:15 11:15,16 & 38:1,2 39:24 & PRECISION & principal 69:19 \\
\hline Pessolano 3:7 & 12:3 15:21,24 & 40:19 41:22 & 1:23 & 69:21,23,25 \\
\hline 5:9,16 6:5,8,12 & 23:2 34:5 65:3 & 54:8 60:7 63:6 & preclude 49:10 & 70:22 72:1 \\
\hline 6:25 12:6 & 65:16 66:5,9 & 64:16 65:2 & 49:25 & 73:14 85:17 \\
\hline 14:16 15:12 & 66:11,12,21,21 & 79:1 97:6,14 & precludes 9:14 & 100:10 \\
\hline 63:15 65:2,4,7 & 66:22 67:22 & 101:4,14 102:7 & predominance & principle 85:20 \\
\hline 65:15,23 67:11 & 68:21 78:6 & 103:17 105:4 & 34:18 & principles 92:6 \\
\hline 67:21 68:2 & 81:4 83:10 & 107:18 109:16 & predominantly & 106:1 \\
\hline 70:17 72:25 & 86:2 93:13 & 109:18 111:14 & 34:3 & prior 8:5 \\
\hline 78:20 80:22 & 95:5 97:24 & 113:6 & preface 34:8 & Prism 1:6 54:23 \\
\hline 92:7 93:9,17 & 111:2,8 & pointed 46:19 & preliminary 1:7 & 112:7 \\
\hline 93:25 96:2 & planner's 16:1 & 88:3 & 4:3 24:13 & Prism's 4:3 \\
\hline 97:18 110:5,8 & 109:22 & pointing 35:6 & prepare 29:16 & private 98:15,18 \\
\hline 111:5,11 & Planners 66:25 & 42:15 59:10 & prepared 7:4 & 98:24 \\
\hline Pessolano's 97:7 & planning 1:2 2:2 & points 31:4 79:5 & 30:13,22 68:20 & probably 50:22 \\
\hline Pfeil 1:16 26:16 & 15:12 34:9 & 79:12 106:2,7 & prepares 67:7 & 53:3,6,25 \\
\hline 63:19 64:4 & 57:22 66:6,17 & pool 69:24 72:14 & present 1:12 & 54:15 56:16 \\
\hline 106:12,15 & 67:9,12,17 & 73:17 & 5:25 6:3 19:6 & 62:22 63:14 \\
\hline 113:13,14 & 83:4 84:17 & pools 72:20,23 & 19:15 23:13 & 94:5 111:10 \\
\hline 116:12,14 & 85:20 86:22 & 73:6 & 25:12 26:4 & problematic \\
\hline 117:2 & 89:23 94:24 & portion 4:25 & 28:18 43:14 & 55:10 \\
\hline phase 33:14 & 95:14 101:1,2 & 9:12 21:24 & 90:7 109:6 & problems 54:10 \\
\hline physically 68:7 & 101:3,6 106:16 & 31:21 & presentation & 55:18 \\
\hline pick 102:13,22 & 113:9 & portray 35:25 & 17:17 & procedural \\
\hline picture 32:15 & plans 19:12,15 & position 4:17 & presented 7:14 & 13:21 \\
\hline pictures 22:10 & 24:3 43:25 & 92:15 101:20 & 19:13 34:11 & proceed 7:12 \\
\hline piece 19:10 & 68:9 98:12 & 106:3 112:20 & 35:11 37:12 & 11:15 15:11 \\
\hline pinpoint 81:3 & 109:6 & positive 27:2 & 42:10 45:2 & 17:18,23 68:25 \\
\hline pitch 49:9 & planted 51:15 & possible 40:9 & 58:22 68:23 & 72:10 73:3,24 \\
\hline place 40:24 & 90:19 & 42:3 54:20 & 74:12 82:3 & 76:20 79:14 \\
\hline 56:24 118:9 & plastic 51:11 & 56:20 110:24 & 89:12,14 90:24 & 114:7 \\
\hline places 79:9 & plaza 39:18 & 113:7 & 93:4 95:15 & proceeding 17:4 \\
\hline plan 1:8 3:17,21 & please 12:1 & possibly 22:12 & presenting 6:4 & proceedings 1:7 \\
\hline 4:3 16:11 & 17:23 18:3 & 37:18 39:9 & 6:17 20:7 & 16:24 \\
\hline 24:13,16,18 & 25:16 31:13 & 40:15 41:12 & 24:25 27:9 & process 15:5 \\
\hline 30:9,20,20 & 47:23 51:24,25 & 46:5 47:2,5 & 31:2 & 114:25 \\
\hline 40:6,19 41:8 & 65:7,12,23 & potential 105:1 & preserve 38:24 & profession 65:25 \\
\hline 43:24 45:23 & 68:25 72:10 & potentially 33:9 & presume 12:16 & 67:3 \\
\hline 46:16 47:24 & 73:3,24 75:13 & 34:23 61:15 & pretty 88:12 & professional 8:1 \\
\hline 48:20 51:14 & 76:20 79:14 & 105:15,23 & 107:25 & 15:10,12 18:9 \\
\hline 53:14 54:23 & 106:21 & power 24:14 & previous 21:21 & 21:23 45:23 \\
\hline 57:6 58:24 & pleasure 23:3 & 84:18 & 28:24 32:6 & 65:3,16,25 \\
\hline 59:16 60:10 & plenty 61:13,17 & practical 26:19 & 36:20 & 66:5,8 67:17 \\
\hline 68:13 76:1,5 & 67:6 & 50:9 57:9 & previously 2:6,7 & 67:22 68:16,21 \\
\hline 77:6 83:25 & plus 90:19 & practice 34:10 & 2:8,9 18:17 & 83:10 86:2,22 \\
\hline 84:6 90:15 & point 4:5 7:11 & 57:15 & 44:11 58:22 & 92:19 \\
\hline 104:24 105:24 & 9:5 10:3 16:10 & precedent 17:14 & 69:9 & professionals \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 15:23 58:15 & 90:21 & purpose 19:16 & questions 4:15 & 76:6,16 \\
\hline 64:21 68:17 & proposes 76:6 & 22:24 24:17 & 9:13 15:3 & ratios 76:15 \\
\hline 102:6 108:13 & 77:19 & 28:17 31:2 & 44:25 45:8,11 & reactions 106:9 \\
\hline 109:20 110:16 & proposing 52:12 & 41:16 44:3 & 47:20 51:22 & read 84:10 \\
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